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1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the fall of 2010, we conducted surveys of diurnal and nocturnal raptors on Monhegan Island, in mid-coast Maine. We conducted standardized raptor counts daily between 15 September and 18 October, and we surveyed Northern Saw-whet Owls using playback calls and mist nets. We established a station to band migrant raptors and to fit individuals with satellite transmitters to track their daily movements from local to continental scales. This report summarizes the findings of these efforts. Data collected during this study is needed to help inform habitat management decisions, such as those related to siting inshore and offshore wind power facilities, and attempts to determine the value of sites as a stopover for migratory wildlife.

We counted 807 diurnal migrant raptors during our survey. Falcons comprised 74% of all migrants observed. Merlins were the most common raptor observed (49%) in the study, followed by Peregrine Falcons (17%). Buteos (i.e., Red-tailed Hawks, Broad-winged Hawks) large accipiters (i.e., Cooper’s Hawks, Northern Goshawks), and Bald Eagles were either absent from the survey or rare. We documented the timing of raptor migrants at Monhegan. Merlins were early migrants, while Peregrine Falcons were observed later in the period.

Most raptors were observed with a westerly component to their flight direction, with 34% flying west, 31% flying southwest, and 23% flying west-southwest. Of the raptors for which we documented flight height, approximately 88% flew below 200 ft.

We captured and banded 18 Northern Saw-whet Owls on Monhegan over the survey period, confirming for the first time that this species uses the island during migration. We caught significantly more hatching year than after hatching year birds during the surveys, and more females than males. The average minimum staging time of two owls trapped twice on the island was 47.4 hrs. We captured 3 owls on Monhegan banded elsewhere establishing migratory links and evaluations of migration speed.

We banded 25 raptors on Monhegan: 17 peregrines, 6 Merlins, and 2 American Kestrels. All captured individuals were generally within the expected weight range for migrants except for one emaciated juvenile male Peregrine Falcon. One Monhegan-captured Peregrine Falcon was captured six days later at North Assateague Island, MD; this individual travelled 487 miles between captures (81 mi/day). We fitted GPS satellite transmitters to two juvenile female Peregrine Falcons. Both birds followed similar flight paths between Monhegan and Cape Hatteras, NC, where they departed for the Caribbean. These individuals overwintered in Cuba and Columbia. We discuss the relevance of our findings to wind power decisions and assessments of Monhegan Island as a migratory stopover for birds and provide recommendations for further study.
2.0 INTRODUCTION

Naturalists throughout history have reveled in the wonders of wildlife migration. In birds, some species migrate en masse in spectacular punctuated events, while others migrate individually or in discrete groups over prolonged periods, both during the daytime (diurnal) and at night (nocturnal). Many migrant songbirds, shorebirds, and raptors travel thousands of miles between the arctic and the tropics bi-annually, and the extent to which birds stop to feed and rest during migration varies among species. Bird migration is evident to even novice naturalists in the spring and fall at migration stopover sites, where birds are commonly seen conspicuously feeding and resting in large, often mixed flocks.

Migratory stopover sites can play an important role in sustaining bird populations (Newton 2008). Due to the high energetic demands of migration, birds need to obtain more food per day than during other times of the year. Stopover sites enable birds to rest and replenish body reserves depleted during migration. The value of stopover sites to birds varies depending on the quantity and quality of food present, competition, disturbance levels, and the presence of other threats (Newton 2008). Migratory stopovers can be particularly important in enabling birds to venture across ‘ecological barriers’ such as a large stretches of water or desert devoid of food or shelter. Once birds arrive at a stopover site, the conditions there are considered to affect birds’ refueling rates and migration speed, as well as survival and reproduction in periods subsequent to migration. A study of Black-throated Blue Warblers estimated that up to 85% of apparent annual mortality occurred during migration (Sillett and Holmes 2002).

Monhegan Island, located 10 mi offshore along mid-coast Maine, is widely recognized as a world-class birding hotspot and bird stopover site. For decades, large numbers of amateur and professional naturalists have travelled to this scenic offshore island annually to observe the spectacular number and variety of birds that stop at the island during their fall migration. To naturalists, Monhegan may be best known for its neotropical migrant songbird migration in the fall, and it is generally regarded as an optimal place to observe migrating Peregrine Falcons. Surprisingly however, few efforts have been made to document or quantify the patterns of migration on Monhegan Island, despite decades of anecdotal reports by amateur and professional naturalists demonstrating its value to migratory wildlife. This lack of baseline data limits the ability of resource managers, island residents, and agencies to compare wildlife patterns on Monhegan to other sites, or to make informed decisions about the potential effects of a variety of proposed activities on resident or migratory wildlife in the region.
Information characterizing wildlife movement patterns along the Maine coast is of increasing interest in recent years as the state strives to meet ambitious alternative energy targets and become a world leader in alternative, particularly wind, energy production (i.e., 5000 MW of offshore wind energy by 2030; MSPOa 2012, OETF 2009). Based on recommendations from a Governor-appointed Ocean Energy Task Force, three deep water wind demonstration and test sites (ocean energy testing areas) were designated in state waters to facilitate the development of alternative ocean energy in Maine (MSPOb 2012). Efforts are also underway to lease commercial-scale offshore wind developments, one less than 15 miles southwest of Monhegan (MPUC 2010). These wind initiatives are relevant to this study because, relative to Monhegan Island, one test area lies 3 mi to the south, the Damariscove test area is approximately 14 mi to the west, and a commercial scale lease area is 15 miles to the southwest. Like many Maine island communities, Monhegan residents have also considered constructing a land-based community wind turbine (Podolsky 2009, MPPD 2012).

Preliminary efforts to identify areas in which wind power facilities could be built in Maine that minimize risks to wildlife illustrated the general paucity of baseline information on wildlife abundance and movement patterns in the state. Research at terrestrial and offshore wind power facilities demonstrates that baseline wildlife surveys are critical in informing turbine siting decisions, that properly sited facilities can substantially reduce the potential for negative impacts of wind facilities on wildlife, and that preconstruction data is required to properly measure impacts of facilities on wildlife (Langston and Pullan 2004, Drewitt and Langston 2006, Fox et al. 2006).

Raptors are one of the primary bird groups considered to potentially be adversely impacted at wind power facilities (USFWS 2011). However, the vast majority of studies that emphasize raptors at wind power facilities are land-based projects located on inland mountain ranges, where the species composition differs from that observed offshore. The disproportionate emphasis of many studies on inland areas reflects the higher proportion of proposed or approved wind power projects in these regions, and the general logistical and cost challenges associated with studying wildlife in the harsh marine environment. Unfortunately, much of the information gathered on raptor migration patterns inland has limited use in characterizing the migratory patterns of raptors along the coast and offshore.

In this study, we collected information necessary to begin characterizing the value of Monhegan Island as a stopover site to migratory raptors, using diurnal raptors and Northern Saw-whet Owls as our sentinels. Information collected at Monhegan will provide helpful insights on the existing data gap of quantitative information characterizing raptor migration at other islands and in the offshore environment in general. While one justification for this data collection effort relates to the clear need
for baseline data on raptor migration patterns and movements relevant to the discussion about island-based and offshore wind power, information collected in this study will be useful in a variety of management and conservation forums where fall bird migration patterns are of interest. This study is not intended to be part of an environmental impact statement or to be project specific, and the findings of this study should be equally useful to decision makers, wildlife agencies, and the wind industry alike.

3.0 STUDY AREA

Monhegan Island is located approximately 10 mi (22 km) offshore in mid-coast Maine in Lincoln County (Figure 1). It is among the outermost islands strung along Maine’s coastline at varying distances and is approximately 1.75 mi (2.8 km) long and 0.75 mi. (1.2 km) wide; it is about 1000 acres (400 ha). Approximately 360 acres of the island are forested with a mixture of dense coniferous and mixed hardwood stands interspersed among developed and undeveloped open spaces. The island is approximately 165 ft (50 m) at its highest point at the northern end of the island. Much of Monhegan’s shoreline consists of rocky cliffs abutting preserved forest land as well as open habitats. Approximately 200 m to the west-central side of Monhegan lays Manana Island, a largely uninhabited island approximately 600 m long and 300 m wide, with steep inclines on all sides. Manana is comprised almost entirely of open grassland and shrub habitat and is 115 ft (35 m) at its highest point.
Figure 1. Monhegan and Manana Islands, and their location in mid-coast Maine.
4.0 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study are to:

(1) Characterize the species composition, timing, intensity, and local flight characteristics of diurnal raptors at Monhegan Island.

(2) Determine if Northern Saw-whet Owls use Monhegan Island as a stopover during fall migration, and characterize the timing and intensity of the local owl migration.

(3) Establish an offshore diurnal raptor trapping station to enable the banding, sampling, and satellite marking of diurnal raptors.

(4) Document flight paths of migratory Peregrine Falcons captured at Monhegan Island using satellite telemetry.

5.0 METHODS

5.1 Diurnal raptor surveys

We used standard protocols to monitor diurnal raptor migration at Monhegan and Manana Islands (Figure 2). Protocols were adapted from those used in other hawk migration studies (i.e., HawkWatch International, HWI; Hawk Migration Association of North America, HMANA). Observers conducted counts for 8 hours a day, 7 days a week, from approximately mid-September to mid-October, as weather permitted. The location of the raptor observation station (“lookout” hereafter) was selected to maximize the amount of time and directions in which raptors could be viewed as possible. Specific priority was placed on (a) enabling view of birds’ flight paths relative to the offshore wind demonstration test site to the south and to the west (MSPOb 2012), and (b) minimizing or eliminating potential for double counting individuals. Initial counts were conducted from the southern end of the Monhegan Island near Lobster cove (43.75660 °N, 69.32222 °W). However, given the flight paths of raptors in the vicinity and other visibility limitations at Lobster Cove, we determined that the most optimal location from which to conduct observations was on a high point on Manana Island (43.76316 °N, 69.32620 °W); therefore, we moved the lookout to Manana on 25 September. Data from both of these lookouts, which are < 0.5 mi (0.8 km) apart, have been combined for the analyses in this report.

Observers identified all migrant raptors to species, age class, and sex as possible. Species or age/sex classes that were not confirmed by the observer were noted as unknown within that category. Observers noted the following information on each migrant raptor: time observed (in EST; EDT – 1 hr),
flight direction (which way the bird is going; N, E, S, W), approximate height from the ground (coded as: 0 = 0 - 100 ft; 1 = 100 - 200 ft; 2 = 200 – 300 ft; 3 = 300 – 400 ft, etc), and lateral distance (direction of travel and horizontal distance relative to the lookout; approximate distance rounded to the nearest 100 m; Appendix A). Observers prioritized species identification and flight direction data collection during busy periods. Only raptors observed departing the island were included in the count. Raptors not exhibiting migratory behavior (i.e., locals) were recorded but are not included in this summary.

After each hour of observation we recorded the following weather variables and data: max visibility (mi.), temperature (F), percent cloud cover (%), wind speed (mph), wind direction, number of observers, thermal lift (fair, poor, good, excellent) and number of minutes observed within the hour. Weather data has not been summarized for the purposes of this report.

Figure 2. Raptor observer Fred Tilly conducting a fall raptor migration count at Lobster Cove, Monhegan Island, Maine.

5.2 Nocturnal raptor surveys: Northern saw-whet Owls

To determine if Northern Saw-whet Owls were using Monhegan Island as a migratory stopover during and gather baseline information, we established an owl banding station on Monhegan Island. The station, open between 4 and 24 October, consisted of three 12-meter mist nets arranged in a “T” formation, with a repeating audio lure placed near the center of the net array. The trapping station was located on Monhegan Island in the Cathedral Woods (43.76656 °N, 69.31334 °W). We followed standard protocols in which nets are closed during inclement weather and in cases where predatory owls (i.e, Barred Owls, Great-horned Owls) are heard in the vicinity. Nets were opened at dusk and checked approximately hourly until sunrise as weather and staff availability permitted.

Captured owls were extracted from nets, banded, aged, and feather-sampled at a nearby field banding station prior to release. The sex of individuals was determined using a standardized “wing-mass
DF° (discriminant function) technique recommended by the Project Owlnet and accepted by the Bird Banding Lab. Following this protocol, individuals > 93 g were considered females, and < 78 g were considered males. Owls 79 – 92 g were classified as male, female, or unknown using the DF method based on unflattened wing cord and body weight (see http://www.projectowlnet.org). Birds were aged into hatch-year (HY), after hatch-year (AHY), and after second-year (ASY) age classes following standard protocols that rely upon feather molt and wear to make age assessments (Pyle 1997). Feathers were evaluated visually under normal lighting conditions as well as under ultraviolet light, which aids in distinguishing feathers grown during different time periods (Figure 3). For each owl we also collected information on fat score, pectoral score, flattened wing chord, tail length, and bill length, as well as net run time and release time (these metrics are not summarized in this report). Lastly, we collected feathers from the breast, rump, and 1 cm from paired secondary feathers for stable isotope analyses and other research archives.

Figure 3. Aging Northern Saw-whet Owls using feather molt on Monhegan Island; recently molted feathers show brighter pink under ultraviolet light. Photo Credit: Al Hinde.

5.3 Diurnal raptor banding

We operated a raptor research station on Manana Island to enable the capture, banding, measurement, sampling, and satellite tracking (see next section) of diurnal raptors using Monhegan and Manana Islands during migration. The raptor trapping station was operated from 18 September to 18 October. Operations were closed on days with inclement weather. We used standard techniques to trap migrant raptors. Passing raptors were attracted to the area using lures and captured using a combination of bow nets, mist nets and dho gaza nets. All captured raptors were banded with USFWS bands. We collected standard measurements from individuals including wing chord, tail length, hallux, culmen, and weight. We collected feathers from 24 individuals (breast, rump, 2 cm retrices) for stable isotope and other analyses. Blood was collected from the brachial vein of two individuals, and feathers
were collected from 24 individuals. Findings from feather and blood sample analyses are not presented in this report. A primary objective of establishing the trapping station was to (a) enable the fitting of satellite units to migrant peregrine falcons (methodology for that effort is presented below), and (b) find a field site to serve as a future raptor research station to be used in future research and monitoring efforts. All required state and federal permits were obtained prior to conducting field research.

5.4 Satellite tracking Peregrine Falcons

We fitted two migrant juvenile Peregrine Falcons with 22g solar GPS satellite transmitters (or Platform Transmitter Terminals; PTTs; manufactured by North Star Science and Technology) to gain insights on bird movements at various scales and to link individuals to wintering areas. Units were programmed with two alternating seasons. During season 1, transmitters were programmed to an 8 hr on, 90 hr off duty cycle, while fixing GPS locations (in EST) at: 8:00 am (1300 GMT), 11:00 am (1600 GMT), 2:00 pm (1900 GMT), and 5:00 pm (2200 GMT), and 07:00 pm (0:00 GMT). Transmitters fixed one GPS location at 1:00 EST (1800 GMT) daily after 1 December during season 2. Solar GPS PTTs produce both GPS locations and ‘doppler’ locations. The accuracy of GPS fixes is considered to be approximately < 26 m; GPS fixes comprise the bulk of the location data used in analyses. Only doppler location classes L2 (149 m < accuracy < 350 m) and L3 (accuracy < 150 m) were considered in movement analyses in this report. Transmitters <3% of birds’ body weight were fitted to large (> 900 g) females using a well-established technique employing a teflon ribbon harness crossing through a center breast patch (Steenhof et al. 2006; Figure 4).
Figure 4. Juvenile female Peregrine Falcon fitted with a solar GPS satellite unit on Manana Island, Maine, fall 2010. We received ≥ four locations a day from this individual, and tracked its daily movements between Monhegan Island and Columbia.

6.0 RESULTS

6.1 Diurnal raptor surveys

We observed raptors at the study site for a total of 188.4 hours between 15 September and 18 October. Inclement weather restricted visibility and closed observations on 3 potential observation days. Rain, fog, and/or strong south winds limited counts on 24 September, 30 September, and 1 October. Counts were not conducted on 15 and 16 October due to staff limitations toward the conclusion of the project. Our count data is presented in raw form, rather than hawks per hour. Observations were typically conducted when weather permitted during most of the period. Hawk count data from this project will be made available at www.hawkcount.org.

Counts and species composition: We documented a total of 807 diurnal raptors of 8 species migrating through the Monhegan Island vicinity during observations (Figure 5). The two most abundant raptors observed were falcons. Merlins were the most abundant migrant observed; Peregrine Falcons (peregrine hereafter) were the second most common raptor observed. Other species observed were Northern Harrier, Osprey, American Kestrel, Sharp-shinned Hawk, Bald Eagle, and Northern Goshawk. All individuals were identified to species with the exception of two individuals, which were classified as an unknown falcon, and an unknown buteo.
Figure 5. Total number of individual raptors by species observed from observation stations on Monhegan and Manana Islands, fall 2010. Species abbreviations use AOU notation, from left to right; Bald Eagle, Sharp-shinned Hawk, American Kestrel, Osprey, Northern Harrier, Peregrine Falcon, and Merlin. Not shown: Northern Goshawk (n = 1), Unknown Falcon, (n=1), and Unknown Buteo (n = 1).

Falcons (genus *Falco*; Merlin, peregrine, and American Kestrel) comprised 74% of the individuals observed. Merlins, peregrines, and American Kestrels comprised 49%, 17%, and 8%, respectively, of all migrants observed. Accipiters (i.e., genus *Accipiter*; Sharp-shinned Hawk, Cooper’s Hawk, Northern Goshawk) comprised 6% of migrants observed. With the exception of a single Northern Goshawk, all accipiters observed were Sharp-shinned Hawks. Northern Harriers comprised 10% of migrants observed. Ospreys represented 9% of migrants observed. Buteos were largely absent in observations; a single unknown buteo was the only buteo noted. Migrating (as opposed to residents, who were not included in this summary) Bald Eagles were rarely observed during the count. Three Bald Eagles appeared to be migrating, and when tallied with the one unknown buteo and one unknown falcon, comprised 1% of observed individuals.

**Timing:** The total number of hawks observed per day, ranged from 1 – 112 between 15 September and 18 October. Based on this single season of data, there appeared to be 3 apparent peaks in raptor numbers recorded during the study (Figure 6). We term these peaks in total numbers “apparent peaks” to emphasize the significant uncertainty in characterizing migration timing based on a single season – especially given the frequency of inclement weather observed offshore.
The first apparent peak was on 20 September (112 birds). This date marked highest total raptor count in the middle of a period spanning between roughly 17 September (40 birds) and 23 September (73 birds). Over 400 raptors (403) were observed over this interval, representing approximately half of the migrants observed during the study. Over half (57%) of the migrants counted during this date range were Merlins. Fifty-nine percent of raptors observed on 20 September were Merlins, followed by American Kestrels (23% of individuals observed), which were recorded in the highest daily count on this day. A significant weather pattern moved into the area and precluded counts on 24 September, and strong southwest winds, and other weather variables likely limited migration activity on 22 and 25 September. Severe weather and winds not favorable to migration (i.e., south, southwest winds) are common offshore, but limit the interpretation of migration data.

The second apparent peak in the total number of raptors observed occurred following the departure of a significant weather system that limited observations (and likely migration activity in the region) on 30 September and 1 October. On 2 October, we counted a total of 102 raptors. Merlins also comprised a high proportion of migrants during this second apparent peak in activity (58% of migrants). Peregrines, Ospreys, Northern Harriers, and Sharp-shinned Hawks comprised the remaining raptors observed on 2 October (42%).

The third apparent peak in the total number of raptors observed occurred on 11 October, when 45 raptors were observed. Peregrines (31 observed) comprised a higher proportion (69%) of overall all migrants observed compared to earlier periods described. The remaining 31% of raptors observed on 11 October included limited numbers (≤ 5 individuals) of Merlins, Northern Harriers, Ospreys, and Sharp-shinned Hawks. Patterns in migration timing were most easily observed in the three falcon species; timing patterns were less discernible in less abundant species such as Northern Harriers and Ospreys (Figure 6).
Figure 6. Migration timing of diurnal raptors observed in fall 2010 from Monhegan and Manana Islands, Maine. * Other = Bald Eagle (n = 3), Northern Goshawk (n = 1), UB = Unknown Buteo (n = 1), UF = Unknown Falcon (n = 1). Counts were not conducted (primarily due to weather: 22, 24, 25, 30 Sept.; 1, 15, 16 Oct.)
**Flight Direction:** We noted flight direction for 791 of the migrants observed during the raptor count. The vast majority of raptors observed from the lookout contained a westerly component to their flight direction (Figure 7). The highest proportion of migrants were observed departing to the west (34%), followed by those departing to the southwest (31%). Approximately 88% of all raptors observed flying in a fairly relatively narrow range of directions ranging between 255° - 270° (west, southwest, or west-southwest). Unsurprisingly, very few raptors were observed flying due north (1 individual; <1%) or north-northwest (2 individuals; <1%). We observed two individuals (<1 %) flying directly south. We did not observe any raptors with an easterly component to its migration.

![Figure 7](image)

*Figure 7.* Flight direction of 791 raptor migrants observed from Monhegan and Manana Islands during fall 2010 migration.

**Height:** Observers noted approximate flight height of 798 passing migrant raptors. The majority of migrant raptors were observed between 0 – 200 ft (0 – 61 m). Of all passing migrants, 579 (73%) were categorized as flying between 0 – 100 ft (0 – 30 m), and 101 raptors (15%) were noted to fly between 100 – 200 ft (30 – 61 m). Fifty raptors (6%) were categorized as flying between 200 - 300 ft (61 - 91 m). Thirty-six raptors (5%) were noted as flying between 300 – 400 ft (91 - 122 m), and 12 raptors (1%) were noted at a height >400 ft (>122 m).

**Lateral Distance:** Observers noted the lateral distance for 532 raptors passing the lookout. The lateral distance roughly denotes the direction of travel and distance of passing migrants relative to the location of the lookout. Birds passed the lookout in all four cardinal directions. The highest proportion (45%, 237 birds) of raptors passed to the west of the lookout. Thirty percent (160 birds) passed to the north, 19% (99 birds) passed to the south, and 7% (36 birds) passed to the east of the lookout.

The distance at which raptors passed the lookout varied widely among species. Distance codes, recorded in the field at 100 m increments and rounded to the nearest 100 m, are combined for this data.
The highest proportion of raptors (39%; 210 birds) were noted to have a lateral distance of 0 – 150 m (distance code of 0 or 1). Within this combined category, 8% were categorized as 0 (0 – 50 m) and 31% as 1 (51 – 150 m). Twenty-one percent (111 birds) were coded as 2 or 3 (151 – 350 m), 25% (156) were coded at 4 or 5 (351 – 550 m), and 10% (55 birds) were coded at ≥6 (>550 m).

6.2 Nocturnal raptor surveys: Northern Saw-whet owls

This study confirms that Northern Saw-whet Owls (saw-whets hereafter) use Monhegan Island as a migratory stopover during their nocturnal migration. This is the first time to our knowledge that saw-whets have been captured at this location. We captured 18 individual owls (two of these were captured twice) in 96.5 hours of trapping effort over 13 nights between 4 October and 24 October (one night of effort was removed from tallies due to equipment failure). These figures result in approximately 0.20 birds captured per station hour, or roughly 20 birds captured per 100 effort hours. The number of hours per night the station was opened ranged from 2.8 – 12.8 hours. Inclement weather limited or cancelled operations throughout the study. The number of owls captured nightly ranged from 0 – 6. The first owl was captured on 12 October. We captured the highest number of owls on 23 October, one day before the station was closed.

The majority of the owls captured were hatch year birds (89%; 16 of 18 individuals); two owls (11%) were after-hatch year birds. Gender was determinable for 14 of the 18 individuals captured (78%). Of these 14 birds, 11 (79%) were classified as females, and three (21%) were males.

Three of the 18 (17%) owls captured at Monhegan were captured and banded previously elsewhere the same fall. Two individuals captured at Monhegan were originally banded at Ile Rouge, Quebec, Canada (48.08333 °N, Long: 69.58333 °W). The first owl (#0924-07444) captured on Monhegan on 23 October was banded at the Quebec station 34 days earlier, on 19 September. The second owl (#0924-07492) captured at Monhegan on 16 October was banded in Quebec 13 days earlier, on 3 October 2010. The third previously banded individual (#1014-03612) was captured at Monhegan on 13 October 2010 and was originally banded at Mohonk Preserve, Ulster County, New York (41.79306 °N, 74.12083 °W) on 21 October, 2009. The New York and Quebec sites are approximately 280 mi (450 km) and 297 mi (479 km), respectively, from Monhegan. Assuming direct flight paths, the average daily distance travelled by saw-whets between the Quebec site and Monhegan thus ranged between 11 miles/night and 23 miles/night, with an average of 17 miles /night for both birds.

Two individuals captured twice within the same season at Monhegan provide first-time information on staging times for saw-whets at Monhegan, prior to continuing their migration. One
individual (#1014-03612) first captured on 13 October was captured again 3 days (72.4 hrs) later on 16 October. A second individual (#1014-34608) captured on 17 October was recaptured one day (22.4 hrs) later on 18 October. One owl retrapped on Monhegan individual increased its body mass by 3% between captures (+3.3 g in 22.4 hrs), while the body mass of the other individual decreased by 0.6% between captures (-0.5 g in 72.4 hrs).

6.3 Diurnal raptor banding

We captured 25 raptors of 3 species during the operation of the diurnal raptor trapping station at Manana Island (Table 1). All species captured were juvenile falcons. Peregrines were the most commonly captured species, followed by Merlins and American Kestrels. We captured more female than male peregrines and Merlins, and an equal number of American Kestrels.

Table 1. Sample sizes and body mass (g) of diurnal raptors captured at Manana Island, Maine, fall 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Female (g)</th>
<th>Male (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMKE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96 (1)</td>
<td>100 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>199 (5)</td>
<td>150 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEFA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>871 (13)</td>
<td>509 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mass of migrants fell within expected ranges for all individuals within each species with the exception of one male peregrine. This individual (396 g) was considered emaciated upon evaluation in the field, and it was later recovered on the island (see Discussion, section 7.4).

6.4 Satellite tracking Peregrine Falcons

We fitted two juvenile female migrant Peregrines with GPS satellite transmitters to document daily movements of peregrines captured at Monhegan, and to associate migrants captured at Monhegan with wintering populations. We did not deploy our third transmitter in hopes of catching an adult female (this unit will be deployed in 2012). Satellite data below have been converted from Greenwich Mean Time (GMT) to Eastern Daylight Time (GMT – 5 hrs). All location data below should be considered preliminary pending more detailed processing and analysis of movement data.

6.4.1. Local movement patterns of Peregrine Falcons on Monhegan Island

While the programming of transmitters used in this study prioritized documenting regional and long-distance movements, PTT location data provided some insights on the duration of time spent and
local movement patterns of peregrines on Monhegan. Information on local movement patterns for the two PTT-fitted Peregrines are described below.

**PTT # 100820 (PEFA 20 hereafter):** We captured this juvenile female peregrine on 9 October 2010. PEFA 20 spent two nights on Monhegan subsequent to capture. PTT data suggests PEFA 20 utilized the eastern shore of the island, including Black Head, White Head, as well as the forested island interior, throughout 10 October (Figures 1, 8). By 8:00 AM the morning of 11 October, PEFA 20 fixed a GPS location approximately 2.8 miles (4.5 km) to the southwest of the island in the open ocean, and by 11 AM a location was fixed approximately 48 miles (77.1 km) southwest on the mainland in Cape Elizabeth, ME. The distance of the 8:00 AM fix from the island and the distance travelled between this location and the 11:00 AM location in Cape Elizabeth suggests the 8:00 AM fix occurred as the bird departed the island. The minimum staging time for PEFA 20, calculated using 7:00 PM on the capture day, to sunrise on the day of the bird’s departure, was 36 hrs.

**PTT # 100821 (PEFA 21 hereafter):** We captured this juvenile female peregrine on 5 October 2010. PEFA 21 spent two nights on Monhegan following release. GPS fixes were located on the high ground southeast of Deadman Cove on the eastern shore of the island, the southeast corner, and the center of the forest in the northern third of the island (Figures 1, 8). At 8:00 AM on 9 October, PEFA 21 fixed a GPS location west of Black Head in the central northern forests on the island. By 11:00 AM, the bird fixed a location on Wreck Island (a small island between Harbor Island and Marsh Island in Muscongus Bay), approximately 8.7 miles (14 km) to the northwest of Monhegan Island. The minimum staging time for PEFA 21, calculated using 7:00 PM the capture day to the 8:00 AM fix on the day of the bird’s departure is 37 hours.
6.4.2. Movement patterns of Peregrine Falcons in Maine and along the Mid-Atlantic Flyway

Our data suggests that both PTT-fitted peregrines chose different flight paths leaving Monhegan Island. PEFA 21 appeared to depart to the northwest to Muscongus Bay (based on GPS fixes on Manana Island, fall 2010).
Monhegan Island and Wreck Island) and followed the Maine shoreline southward, while PEFA 20 (based on a GPS fix over open water and subsequent locations further south) appeared to depart the island over open water to the southwest.

PEFA 21 appeared to begin its migration following an inland flight path after leaving to the northwest of Monhegan Island to Muscongus Bay on 7 October. Locations were then fixed at two sites, including a potential roosting location at 7:00 PM in the vicinity of South Bristol, ME. On 8 October, a location was fixed at 8:00 AM in the Phippsburg vicinity. PEFA 21 travelled approximately 50 miles (76
km) over the next three hours to a forested area roughly 2.4 miles (3.9 km) north of West Kennebunk, ME, where it fixed a location at 11:00 AM. From West Kennebunk, the bird appeared to follow an inland migration route that tracked South of Kingston, NH, and north of Salem, NH, where it may have roosted. From this point, the peregrine travelled southward through eastern MA toward Providence and Narragansett, RI. PEFA 21 fixed a GPS location on Block Island, RI, migrated over open water parallel to Long Island, NY, and fixed several GPS and Doppler locations on the west side of Barnegat Bay, NJ in the early evening on 9 October. The next fix on this individual was on 10 October at 8:00 AM approximately 8.4 miles (13 km) southwest of Salisbury, MD. It is unknown if the peregrine crossed Delaware Bay or followed an inshore route to the west. The next several location fixes suggested the peregrine travelled nearly due south (to the west of Assateague Island and Chincoteague Bay, known peregrine stopovers) to the eastern side of Chesapeake Bay, following the Chesapeake Bay Peninsula, crossing Chesapeake Bay, and fixing a location just north of Virginia Beach, VA at 11:00 AM on 11 October, and fixing several early evening locations in Mackay Island National Wildlife Refuge, NC. Over the course of the 12 and 13 October, the peregrine generally followed the eastern NC shoreline destined for Cape Hatteras, NC, where numerous locations were fixed, including overnight locations on 14 October.

PEFA 21 departed the continental U.S. on 15 October, tracking southeast over open ocean for the next two days. This journey took the bird 715 miles (1,150 km) (approximately 300 miles [428 km] to the southwest of Bermuda) to the southeast, before it turned southwest and travelled another 414 miles (666 km), to fix a location in Turks and Caicos on 17 October. After spending approximately 5 days in Turks and Caicos (to 22 September), PEFA 21 spent a few days on Great Inagua Island (to 24 September), after which it spent the remainder of September and all of October along the southern shore of Cuba. The last Cuban location for PEFA 21 was in the early evening on 7 November. PEFA 21 fixed a location on Jamaica, and left Jamaica to make landfall at La Rada on the northwest shore of Colombia between 8:00 AM (an open ocean satellite fix) and 11:00 AM on 8 November. Daily locations were fixed on this peregrine in northern Columbia throughout the remainder of 2010 into the Spring of 2011. The last data we received from this PTT was on 12 March, 2011. As is often the case with PTT data, the reasons for discontinued transmissions for PEFA 21 are unclear.

PEFA 20 departed Monhegan on 11 October, fixing a location at 8:00 AM approximately 2.8 miles (4.5 km) to the southwest of the island in the open ocean. By 11:00 AM on the same day, PEFA 20 fixed a location approximately 48 miles (77.1 km) to the southwest on the mainland, inshore between Scarborough Marsh and Higgins Beach, ME. The bird continued southward, fixing two locations southwest of Boston, MA; locations suggest the bird may have roosted east of Medway, MA, roughly
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153 miles (246 km) from Monhegan Island. PEFA 20 fixed a location at 8:00 AM on the beach south of Narragansett, RI, and then 11:00 AM near Southampton, NY on 12 October. It is unknown if the peregrine flew to Block Island similar to PEFA 20. Location fixes on this individual indicate the bird followed the length of Long Island, and may have roosted in a forested area approximately 5.0 miles (8.2 km) west of Queens, NY. Locations were fixed on PEFA 20 several miles south of Barnegat Bay, NJ, and then over open water in western Delaware Bay at 11:00 AM on 13 October. These locations suggest the bird crossed Delaware Bay rather than choosing an inshore route. PEFA 20 fixed several locations in Pocomoke Bay in eastern Chesapeake Bay and near Cape Charles, MD on 14 October. These locations indicate that the individual did not follow the eastern shoreline of Delaware or southern Maryland, but chose a westerly route near Chesapeake Bay. The bird continued on this inland route as it fixed locations approximately 7 miles (11 km) west of Suffolk, VA, 1.6 miles (2.7 km) north of New Hope, NC. Early evening locations suggest the bird may have roosted near the center of Cape Hatteras, NC on 14 October.

PEFA 20 departed the continental U.S. on 15 October, and spent approximately two days following a flight path east, then south (Figure 9). The first known satellite fix over land since its departure from North Carolina was in El Salvador on 18 October at 8:00 AM. The bird spent the evening of 19 October in El Salvador prior to departing to the east on 20 October. On 21 October, PEFA 20 fixed a location in Turks and Caicos, where it stayed until 23 October, prior to departing for Little Inagua Island. On 24 October, PEFA 20 flew from Little Inagua Island to eastern Cuba. PEFA 21 fixed daily locations throughout eastern Cuba until the last transmission on 6 March 2011. The reasons for the discontinuation of transmissions from PEFA 20 are unknown.

7.0 DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this study marks the first standardized raptor migration survey that has been conducted on Monhegan Island, and it is likely one of the only comprehensive raptor surveys using standardized techniques that has been conducted in Maine’s offshore environment. This study has also provided potentially the first GPS quality data on migrating peregrines in Maine as well as other New England and Mid-Atlantic states. Below, we summarize interpretations of the findings of this study within study components.
7.1 Diurnal raptor surveys

**Counts and Species Composition:** We recorded 807 raptors during observations (188.4 observation hours) over an approximately one-month period in the fall. This total is considerably lower compared to traditional inland and inshore raptor count stations during the same period of time; however, total count comparisons between offshore sites and inshore sites need to be placed in context that considers species composition differences between these areas. These differences can be expected to become even more pronounced between inland (i.e., Appalachian sites, the Goshutes) and offshore sites.

Since no offshore raptor migration data is available for comparison, we compare our data with standardized raptor count data collected at Cadillac Mountain at Acadia National Park (ANP) in 2010 (B. Connery and A. King Johnston, National Park Service, hawkcount.org). While the ANP site is located inshore and has different site characteristics compared to Monhegan, the ANP site may represent the best comparison site available due to its close proximity and the related fact that both sites are often similarly affected by regional weather patterns. To improve comparisons between these two datasets, we standardized both datasets to 15 September – 12 October (ANP started earlier and Monhegan ended later; standardized period hereafter) for count and species composition comparisons below.

The total number of raptors counted at ANP during the standardized period was 2,088 (109 observation hours), compared to 762 raptors observed at Monhegan during the same period. Therefore, the number of raptors observed at ANP is approximately three times greater (with less effort) than that observed at Monhegan. However, as this study demonstrates, 74% of diurnal raptors observed during the standardized period at Monhegan were falcons (the majority of them Merlins), while falcons comprised 28% of raptors observed at ANP during the period (the majority of which were American Kestrels; 25% of the ANP total for the period). Merlins, which comprised 50% of Monhegan raptor migrants observed during the standardized period, comprised only 2% of migrants at ANP, and peregrines, which comprised 16% of the Monhegan count, comprised only 1% of the ANP total. Conversely, Sharp-shined Hawks comprised 42% of the raptors observed at ANP during the standardized period, compared to 6% at Monhegan. Finally, Broad-winged Hawks and Red-tailed Hawks comprised 14% and 2% of the migrants at ANP during the standardized period, respectively, and these species were not observed at Monhegan during our observations. Comparisons between Monhegan and ANP migration counts emphasize that species composition must be considered when comparing the total number of migrant diurnal raptors between or among sites.
In general, the suite of diurnal migrant raptor species observed at Monhegan is comprised of species known to use powered flight (flapping and gliding) over soaring during migration (Spaar 1997). Species relying on powered flight often have lower wing loads compared to species relying on soaring flight (Newton 2008). Species such as Broad-winged Hawks, Red-tailed Hawks, and Cooper’s Hawks characteristically migrate by gaining altitude on thermals and gliding between them; none of these species were observed at Monhegan during our count. Thermals are poorly developed and widely spaced in the coastal environment. The raptors observed at Monhegan during our count generally include all of the small North American raptors (i.e., Merlin, American kestrel, and Sharp-shinned Hawk) that can overcome the lack of thermals during favorable wind conditions. These raptors can be quickly overpowered by strong headwinds, and resultantly, the migration patterns of the small raptors can be especially influenced by prevailing wind direction and other weather variables.

A secondary factor that likely contributes to influencing differences in species composition between offshore and inshore sites relates to their dietary preferences and their ability to feed during migration. Many of the raptors observed at Monhegan (i.e., peregrines, Merlins, Sharp-shinned Hawks, American Kestrels) are known to partially or exclusively feed upon birds, and in some species invertebrates (i.e., dragonflies, butterflies). In general, the migration timing and flight paths of many raptors coincides with that of songbirds and shorebirds (Aborn 1994, White et al. 2002) and/or the migrations of invertebrate prey, and it is plausible that these food resources help sustain predators during migration.

Migration Timing: While the timing of the fall raptor migration at Monhegan is generally known among biologists and birders, it has not been previously quantified and documented through continuous standardized raptor surveys. Given its northerly location the timing of the raptor migration on Monhegan appears comparable with that of similar species at other sites along the Atlantic migratory flyway (i.e., Hawk Mountain, PA, Cape May, NJ). A severe weather system moved into in the vicinity during late September, bringing high south winds, fog, and/or limited visibility. This system halted counts (and most likely the regional raptor migration itself) and lessens our ability to clearly interpret the timing of the migration at Monhegan. Adverse weather will be a consistent factor influencing most migration studies, particularly in the marine environment.

Our surveys suggest three peaks in the total number of raptor migrants visible from Monhegan: one in mid-September (20 September; 112 birds counted), a second in early October (1 October; 102 birds), and a third just before mid-October (11 October; 45 birds) (Figure 6). Clear increases and subsequent decreases were most discernible in the three falcon species (American Kestrels, Merlins, and
peregrines), which comprised the majority of the migrants observed (Figure 6). The first peak in the total daily count at Monhegan was primarily comprised by Merlins. The daily count of American Kestrels was highest for the season on this day as well, although lower numbers compared to Merlins. The pattern suggestive of a mid-September peak in total daily counts at Monhegan was also evident at ANP. In contrast to Monhegan, the first peak at ANP was heavily comprised of American Kestrels (49% of daily total) and Sharp-shinned Hawks (32%); Merlins were relatively rare (2%).

The second peak in daily counts at Monhegan (2 October) was also evident at the ANP site one day later. However, while 58% of the raptors counted at Monhegan on this second peak were Merlins (and 1% were Sharp-shinned hawks; 19% were Peregrines), 53% of the raptors counted during the second peak at ANP were Sharp-shinned Hawks (and 3% were Merlins, 16% were Broad-winged Hawks). Merlin counts declined to <10 individuals/day by 5 October at Monhegan, and never surpassed this level for the remainder of the count. The third peak at Monhegan occurred on 11 October, when we tallied the highest daily peregrine count of 31 individuals. Daily observations of 1 – 13 peregrines continued to end of the count. Counts at ANP also show a third peak in raptor activity on 12 October, the final day of their count. The ANP daily total was primarily comprised by Sharp-shinned Hawks, while the Monhegan total was dominated by peregrines.

The concordance of the general timing of raptor movements at the Monhegan and ANP sites despite notable differences in species composition between them may suggest that weather conditions or other factors are similarly influencing raptors’ cues to migrate at both sites. Raptor migration surveys at ANP and Monhegan were similarly limited by adverse weather over the 24 September - 1 October, and further surveys will be required to evaluate the extent to which this storm influenced species-specific timing patterns observed in 2010. As is typically observed at other sites, northwest winds favored migratory activity, while south winds severely limited raptor migration. Future counts starting earlier (i.e, mid August or 1 September) would improve characterizations of the migratory patterns of the smaller raptors (i.e., Merlins, American Kestrels, and Sharp-shinned Hawks) at Monhegan. The highest daily count for Sharp-shinned Hawks at ANP occurred on 11 September, before the onset of our counts on Monhegan. Seventy-two percent of the total number of raptors counted at ANP between 1 September and 14 September were American Kestrels (27% of total) and Sharp-shinned Hawks (45%) (A. King Johnston, NPS, unpublished data; hawkcount.org). Significant population level declines have been recently detected in both of these species (Bildstein et al. 2008), and improving our understanding of these species is warranted.
Flight Direction, Height, and Lateral Distance

A thorough understanding of local raptor flight characteristics such as height and flight direction is important in evaluating the potential for collision risk with proposed construction projects, and equally important, measuring behavior changes post-construction.

Flight Direction: Our migration surveys indicated that all migrants visible from the lookout had a westerly component to their flight direction, with 87% of raptor migrants observed flying between 255° – 270° (west, west-southwest, or southwest). A relatively small proportion of migrants (11%) was observed flying either Northwest or West-Northwest, and no raptors were observed flying north, south, or east. Raptors departing the island at low altitudes to the east or south may have been difficult to detect by observers; however, we suspect these cases were rare as few raptors would “disappear” behind the island during counts – especially during days with more notable movements. Flight directions observed at Monhegan may suggest that migrant raptors passing through the area are targeting visible islands (such as Seguin Island) or the mainland upon departure. While peregrines have been observed offshore (White et al. 2002, ), and the species is clearly capable of making large open water crossings, the departure directions chosen by migrants in this study might be expected given the broader geographic context of potential southward stopover locations and final destinations. Due to the western retreat of the north Atlantic coastline, the easternmost point of land south of Monhegan is the eastern tip of Cape Cod, MA, which lies roughly 147 miles (236 km) in a direction of 192 degrees. This point represents the easternmost point at which migrants departing Monhegan might be considered to stop if they attempted a point-to-point flight over open water. No birds in our study ventured in this direction; most favored westerly flight paths toward the coast.

Height: This study provides baseline information on passing raptor flight height of 798 migrants at Monhegan Island. Seventy-three percent of the migrants observed in this study were noted to fly at heights between 0 – 100 ft (0 – 30 m), 15% were between 100 – 200 ft (30-61 m), and the remaining 12% were above 200 ft (further details in results). Similar findings reporting flight heights below 200 ft were also noted for non-migrant raptors, November 2008 – August 2009, at Monhegan’s Lighthouse Hill in an interim report contracted by the Monhegan Municipal Power District (Podolsky 2009).

A variety of factors related to the site geography, species differences, and weather conditions can influence the height at which raptors pass over a particular area. The notable distance between Monhegan and other islands or the mainland may influence birds’ tendencies to arrive in the Monhegan vicinity at a relatively low altitude. Raptors may fly at or below 100 ft at Monhegan because thermal development is likely limited in the area. Raptors flying at low altitudes may be scanning the area for
potential prey, and those flying closer to the water can gain lift from prevailing winds on wavelets. Peregrines and gulls are commonly observed soaring on the eastern side of the island using thermals and the updrafts deflecting off of Black Head and White Head when winds are favorable. Wind patterns at these areas may influence birds’ behavior and local flight patterns. While flight height information collected in this study is of value in establishing baseline raptor behavior patterns in the area, its relevance in assessing the potential impact of structures on local wildlife is limited, as data collection protocols should be project specific depending on the design and location of structures. Height estimation by human observers is likely subjective with limited accuracy. Flight height and other information collected in this study pertain only to raptors confirmed to be migrants by the fact that they were observed departing the vicinity. Our findings are not relevant to birds characterized as “locals,” migrants circling the islands, or bird movements during the breeding season.

**Lateral Distance:** We documented the lateral distance of 532 passing raptors observed from the lookout. The lateral distance roughly denotes the direction and distance of passing migrants relative to the location of the lookout. Our surveys indicated that 45% of raptors passed to the west of the lookout, and 30% passed the lookout to the north. A smaller proportion (19%) of raptors passed to the south of the lookout, while 7% passed to the east. These findings are consistent with the flight direction findings that indicate the majority of migrant raptors move in a westerly direction. Given the northerly origins of migrants seen at Monhegan, and indications that the majority of raptors flew in a general west or southwesterly direction, it is unsurprising that 75% of raptors passed the lookout to the north and west. However, the lateral distance measure provides an additional dimension further characterizing bird movements, indicating that while no birds were observed flying in south, east, or north directions – birds were observed passing to the south, east, and north sides of the lookout.

Only 8% of raptors passed within 50 m of the lookout, and 31% passed within 0 - 150 m. When combined, findings suggest that less than half (39%) of categorized raptors passed within 150 m of the lookout. The proportion of raptors noted to pass the lookout at distances 150 - 550 m (distance code categories 2 – 5) was similar across categories, ranging from 10 – 20%. Ten percent of coded raptors were noted to pass the lookout at distances ≥ 551 m (distance codes 6 – 8).

The distance code data collected in this study indicates that the lateral distances at which raptors pass the island varies widely; however, a substantial proportion (39%) of individuals passed within 150 m. When paired with the flight height data indicating that 74% of passing raptors were at heights 0 – 100 ft, tallies begin to quantify counters’ general characterizations that birds often flew at low to moderate heights, often within a close proximity to the lookout.
7.2 Nocturnal raptor surveys: Northern Saw-whet Owls

Unlike diurnal raptors, which can be visually counted during migration, one of the only means of surveying and characterizing owl migration is to make inferences based on information gathered through captures at a banding station, an approach commonly used in passerine monitoring.

Until recently, no efforts to survey migrant saw-whets on Maine coastal islands had been documented, particularly at islands offshore. This represented a large gap in our understanding of the natural history of this species that is important to its management and conservation. While previous efforts had established that saw-whets were relatively common during migration at Maine-based banding stations in Freeport (J. Camuso, MDIFW) North Yarmouth (B. Lane, independent), western Maine (C. DeSorbo, BRI), and probably other locations, it remained unknown to what extent owls would venture over large expanses of open water to stop at islands along the Maine coast. In a 2009 pilot effort to characterize the nocturnal and diurnal raptor migration at Isle Au Haut (an outer island, approximately 15 mi. southwest of Mt. Desert Island), researchers found that saw-whets appeared to be a relatively common fall nocturnal migrant during a limited survey effort (DeSorbo and Gray, in prep.).

This finding suggested that this outer Maine island and others may represent important migratory stopovers for owls migrating through the Gulf of Maine, prompting further interest in repeating surveys at Isle Au Haut, and expanding surveys to other island and mainland sites throughout the Gulf of Maine in 2010 (Appendix B). In this study, we summarize findings relative to Monhegan. Preliminary comparisons between data collected at Monhegan to other island and mainland sites surveyed during 2010 are presented in Appendix B and further comparisons (including incorporation of additional data collected in 2011) are forthcoming (K Williams, BRI, unpubl. data).

Characterizing the Northern Saw-whet Owl Migration at Monhegan

We documented during this study that saw-whets use Monhegan Island during their fall migration. This information was previously unknown due to the nocturnal and inconspicuous nature of migratory owls. Details characterizing the migration follow.

Counts: Our capture of 18 owls in 96.5 station hours (13 nights), yields a relatively low capture rate compared to other long-term owl banding stations. That said, the vast majority of long-term saw-whet banding data has been collected at stations located on the mainland, and thus little baseline data collected on islands exists for comparison, particularly offshore. Preliminary comparisons of Monhegan data in 2010 to survey data collected at other island and mainland sites in the Gulf of Maine during 2009 (DeSorbo and Gray in prep., Camuso 2011) and 2010-2011 suggest that fewer saw-whets target
Monhegan compared to other sites surveyed (Appendix B., K. Williams, unpubl. data). However, differences in survey intensity (partially influenced by weather) and timing between Monhegan and other sites limit our ability to make robust site comparisons with other sites and fully characterize the importance of Monhegan to migrant saw-whets. Weather patterns are known to affect the fall migration patterns of saw-whets (Weir et al. 1990, Rasmussen et al. 2008), and inclement weather, which is common offshore, hindered survey efforts throughout our survey.

Annual variation in the total number of saw-whets captured during fall migration is highly influenced by the number of juveniles, particularly during irruption years (Whalen and Watts 2002, Rasmussen et al. 2008). The primary factors affecting the number of juveniles produced are annual breeding success, and nomadic behavior related to changing prey densities (Cannings 1993, Lliff 2000, Marks and Doremus 2000). Additional surveys will be required to establish a baseline to determine whether the quantity of owls captured at Monhegan are reflective of the ‘typical’ timing and intensity, and migratory characteristics of saw-whets using the island during irruption and non-irruption years.

**Timing:** Our surveys, which were conducted for 13 nights spanning 4 October to 23 October, likely encompassed at least a portion of the peak of the saw-whet migration at Monhegan. We captured no owls during survey efforts in early October (4, 6 October), and captured the most owls toward the end of the surveyed period (23 October; n = 6). The highest effort-adjusted capture rate was on 13 October (0.67 owls per station hour; 4 owls in 6 station hrs). While numbers vary among years, peak captures are commonly reported in October at mid-Atlantic banding stations further south. The period over which 90% of the saw-whets were captured at Cape May, NJ was 16 – 19 October during the years 1980-1988 (Duffy and Kerlinger 1992). The peak of captures at the saw-whet banding station in Freeport is typically in mid-October (Camuso 2011), and this period was similarly active at other saw-whet stations along the Maine coast during 2010 (K. Williams, BRI, unpubl. data).

**Age:** The majority of the owls captured at Monhegan were hatch-year (HY) birds (89% HY vs 11% AHY). High juvenile-to-adult ratios are commonly reported at other fall saw-whet banding stations (including other Maine sites in 2010) and passerine banding stations. High juvenile-to-adult ratios appear to be most common during irruption years (Rasmussen et al. 2008). For example, the proportion of HYs captured at the Delmarva Peninsula, VA, varied from 82% during irruption years, to 33% during non-irruption years (Whalen and Watts 2002). In a banding station run for the past 11 years in Freeport, ME, 65% of the owls captured were HY birds (Camuso 2011).

**Gender:** Females were captured more frequently than males (79% vs 21%) at Monhegan. Seventy percent of the saw-whets captured at the banding station in Freeport, ME were females.
(Camuso 2011). A high female-to-male ratio is commonly reported at saw-whet banding stations along the east coast, for reasons that are not well understood. Audio playbacks do appear to bias capture sex ratios, but skewed sex ratios are still found at saw-whet banding stations that do not use audiolures (Duffy and Matheny 1997).

Information Gathered from Banded Individuals

Findings from this study provided first-time estimates of the approximate minimum staging time of migrant saw-whets on Monhegan, as well as first-time links of Monhegan-captured saw-whets to other locations on their migratory routes.

Minimum Staging Time: Staging time and timing of migratory movements in general are likely to be highly influenced by the body condition of individuals and prevailing weather patterns, as well as local habitat quality at stopover sites. The minimum staging time for the two owls retrapped (i.e., during the same season) at Monhegan in 2010 ranged from 22.4 hrs - 72.4 hrs. Minimum staging time has been suspected to differ during irruption and non-irruption years (median 5 vs. 10 nights; Whalen and Watts 2002), and individuals in better body condition are thought to leave stopovers sooner during irruption years (Whalen and Watts 2002). Retrapped individuals both increased (3%; +3.3 g in 22.4 hrs) and decreased body mass between captures (0.6%; -0.5 g in 72.4 hrs). A limited sample size precludes meaningful conclusions based on this data.

Migratory Links and Migration Speed: Efforts to survey owls through banding at Monhegan enable researchers to link saw-whets using offshore islands to other sites visited during their migration and estimate their rates of travel. Three saw-whets captured at Monhegan were previously banded elsewhere, linking two owls to Ile Rogue, Quebec, Canada (banded in 2010), and one to the Mohonk Preserve, New York (banded in 2009). The average time between captures in Quebec and Monhegan was 23.5 days, ranging 13 – 34 days, and the average daily distance travelled was 17 mi (27 km) per night. The estimated speed of migration based upon saw-whet band recoveries at banding stations elsewhere has ranged widely from 3.5 km/night to 88 km/night (Rasmussen et al. 2008). The flight from the nearest point of land to Monhegan is approximately 10 mi (16 km).

We can interpret little about the bird captured at Monhegan the year following its original banding in New York. This recapture could suggest that birds follow an inland migration route from the coast of Maine; however, birds may change migratory routes between years. Saw-whets banded in southern Maine (BRI, unpubl. data) have been previously recovered at this location in New York, suggesting this migratory route may not be unusual. Saw-whets banded in Freeport, ME have been
recovered in PA, MD, DE, SC, NJ, RI, and Ontario (Camuso 2011), and saw-whets captured at other BRI banding stations throughout the Gulf of Maine further illuminate the flyways used by migrating saw-whets along the Atlantic.

While no other owl species were captured incidentally during the Monhegan study, it is noteworthy that a Boreal Owl was reported to be found dead on the island near the Icehouse in 2008 (Cundy 2011). A picture of the bird is currently posted at http://www.monhegan.info/birds.html. Very little is known about Boreal Owls in Maine and the finding of an individual on Monhegan may warrant further investigation.

7.3 Diurnal raptor banding

The trapping station operated on Manana Island may be the first of its kind to be established in Maine. Through this effort, we banded 25 individuals, including 17 peregrines, six Merlins, and two American Kestrels. No previously banded individuals were captured on Manana. One individual banded and released from Manana was captured healthy four days later on Assateague Island MD. All individuals appeared to be healthy upon capture, except for one male, noteworthy in that it exhibited a very low body mass (396g). This individual is discussed in the next section. Banding efforts in this study enabled access to individuals for the sampling, banding, measurement, and PTT-fitting (of peregrines, next section) and physical evaluation of diurnal raptors. Feather samples will be analyzed for stable isotopes and archived, efforts that may help establish the breeding origins of migrants. Information gathered through banding and sampling efforts during this study may help in further investigations and management of migratory and breeding populations of these three minimally studied species.

7.4 Satellite tracking Peregrine Falcons

Satellite telemetry units employing GPS level accuracy have remarkably improved wildlife movement studies by improving the programming capability, lifespan, and accuracy of units. The two female peregrines tracked during this study provide first-time information on minimum staging time and habitat preferences on Monhegan Island, and GPS-level accuracy information on the preferred migratory flyways used from Maine to Cuba and South America, migration speed, and insights on identifying important migratory stopovers. We have additionally associated individuals captured at Monhegan with their wintering locales in Cuba and Columbia. Movement patterns can differ substantially among individuals depending on a variety of factors including weather, food availability, body condition, and others. The movements of the two large (>900 g) juvenile females captured in this
study may not be representative of those exhibited by males, adults, or birds with differing body condition, or other differences.

**Local Movements on Monhegan:** Both satellite tracked peregrines, captured four days apart, exhibited remarkably similar estimated minimum staging times of approximately 36 and 37 hrs. GPS fixes on these two individuals during their stay suggest areas that may be of importance to migrating peregrines. The areas in which PTT-fitted peregrines fixed locations are generally consistent with those where peregrines are commonly observed on the island (i.e, the eastern cliffs). Both individuals stayed on the island for 2 nights prior to continuing their migration. It is unknown the extent to which this stopover time is influenced by handling individuals and fitting them with transmitters. Given that both peregrines were captured late in the day, it is unsurprising that individuals roosted on the island immediately following capture. At South Padre Island, Texas, recaptures of 26 banded peregrines within the same season indicated that the average staging time was 6.86 days, with individuals staying a minimum of several hours to 17 days (Doney et al. 2011).

The amount of time that birds remain at a migratory stopover is dependent on weather conditions, body condition, and other factors (Newton 2008). During this project, we captured one male peregrine with a notably low body weight (396 g; the other males ranged from 504 – 582 g). A banded male peregrine was observed hunting on the island the day following capture, and we presume this was the same individual. Unusually torrential rains ensued that evening, the peregrine was recovered dead the following morning at a residence. We suspect that poor body condition and severe weather conditions both contributed to the mortality of this individual.

**Flyways:** The Peregrines tracked using satellite telemetry in this study provided first-time high resolution information on the preferred flyways of migratory peregrines along the Maine coastline. Both peregrines tracked during this component of the study moved relatively quickly through the state following departure from Monhegan. Satellite fixes suggest the individuals chose different flyways leaving the island, with one bird departing to the northwest, and the other appearing to depart the southwest over open ocean. After the peregrines left the island, both individuals appeared to favor following the Maine coastline over flyways further offshore, a preference that was evident throughout the birds’ migration. Both individuals moved inland as they proceeded further south. One peregrine began moving inland in the vicinity of Biddeford, Maine, while the other fixed one location near Cape Elizabeth, Maine, and a second west of Gloucester, Massachusetts. Both individuals choose routes to the west of Gloucester and Boston, and both entirely avoided Cape Cod. One satellite-tagged peregrine exited Maine less than 6 hours after it departed Monhegan, while the other likely spent the night in
Phippsburg, Maine, and crossed into New Hampshire approximately 27 hours after it fixed a location on Wreck island (near Monhegan). Both individuals followed a relatively similar migration path that led west of Boston, directly south to Rhode Island and Long Island Sound, New York, west to the New Jersey coastline. From the NJ coastline, both individuals moved toward the northeastern portion of Chesapeake Bay, and generally followed the Atlantic coastline south to Cape Hatteras, NC, where they both departed for the Caribbean.

The migratory pathways documented by Peregrines in this study are generally consistent with those reported previously in other studies. Both peregrines followed very similar flightpaths despite travelling days apart. The movements of both individuals may have been similarly influenced by weather conditions or other factors during migration.

Wintering locations: We successfully associated migrant peregrines captured on Monhegan Island with habitats emphasized during the winter months. While both peregrines moved steadily throughout the Caribbean during the late fall and early winter months, both individuals eventually remained in one general location during the winter months in 2011. Our findings indicate that one satellite tracked individual overwintered in southeastern Cuba, while the other overwintered in northern Columbia. Both of these locations have been previously noted as wintering locations for migrating peregrines. Peregrine nestlings banded in Maine have been previously linked to Cuba using band recoveries (C. Todd, MDIFW, pers. comm.).

7.5 Relevance to wind power decisions

Diurnal raptors surveys: This study is the first to our knowledge to conduct continuous raptor surveys to determine the direction of departure and flight height for migrant raptors leaving the vicinity of Monhegan Island. Raptor survey and flight characteristic information collected in this study provides baseline information that may be helpful in evaluating the potential for raptors migrating through the area to be affected by structures such as wind turbines (i.e., via avoidance behaviors or collisions). However, our surveys emphasized fall migrant raptors only. Efforts to assess the risk of land-based community wind turbines on the island will require additional efforts, and impact assessments require project-specific data collection methodology based upon the location and design of proposed structures.

Findings from our surveys indicate that a large proportion migrant raptors departing the island complex were flying at heights ≤ 200 ft, a height generally within or below the rotor sweep of most wind turbines. Similar findings were reported for 40 assumedly non-migrant raptors, documented November 2008 – August 2009, at Monhegan’s Lighthouse Hill in an interim report contracted by the Monhegan
Municipal Power District (Podolsky 2009). Our lateral distance measures indicated that migrant raptors passed on all sides of the lookout (and island complex), with the majority of raptors passing to the west and the north at variable distances. It should be emphasized that our surveys, which favored departing raptors in order to ensure an accurate count, are inadequate to characterize the flight patterns of raptors foraging locally during stopovers, or local residents.

Our surveys indicated that the majority of raptors visible from the lookout flew in the general directions of the Damariscove test site, located approximately 17.8 mi (28.6 km) to the west (261 degrees) of Monhegan, and the proposed Statoil Hydro project site, about 13 miles southwest of the island. Our surveys did not suggest that raptors visible from Monhegan were flying toward the proposed Monhegan test site 3 miles south of the island. However, raptors ≥3 miles away over open water cannot be reliably and consistently detected. Therefore, the flightpath, height, and other flight characteristics of raptors passing through the actual test sites or proposed pilot project site remain unknown. Given the general direction of the majority of raptors leaving Monhegan, the collection of this information is warranted. Efforts aiming specifically to detect and document the flight characteristics of raptors flying through the project sites will likely need to explore alternative options such as boat-based surveys or other technologies.

**Northern Saw-whet Owl Surveys:** The extent to which an existing or future wind power facility development might affect saw-whets (or other owls) now documented to use Monhegan during migration remains unclear. As noted above, efforts to assess the risk of a structure for potential impact on a species require project-specific surveys depending on the design and location of the project. The height at which owls fly, and their flight patterns, on, to or from the island are unknown and are important variables to consider in risk assessments. Similar to the diurnal raptors discussed above, owls departing Monhegan to the west or southwest may fly through the Damariscove test site or proposed Maine PUC lease site. It remains unclear how lighted turbines or other structures may affect owls. We have taken preliminary steps to confirm the presence saw-whets on Monhegan and characterize their migration. Additional surveys will be required in order to determine if the number, age, and sex ratios of owls captured during this study are reflective of a ‘typical’ irruption or non-irruption year. Surveys to better characterize the abundance and patterns of other owls would also result in a more comprehensive assessment of the owls using Monhegan Island as well as others in the Gulf of Maine.
7.6 Characterizing Monhegan Island as a migratory stopover site

Stopover sites are locations where long-distance migrant birds stop to replenish depleted energy reserves prior to continuing their migration (Newton 2008). In this study, we have taken preliminary steps to evaluate the value of Monhegan Island as a stopover site for birds by gathering baseline data on the raptor migration there. Factors that determine the value of a stopover site to birds include the quantity and quality of food, shelter, and levels of predation, competition, and other threats (Newton 2008). The extent to which birds stay at a stopover depends on a variety of factors, including body condition and local weather patterns, and conditions at stopover sites are considered to affect birds’ migration speed as well as survival and reproduction in subsequent years.

We documented that Monhegan Island attracts a substantial number of Merlins, peregrines, and other raptors, and increases in the numbers of these migrants generally coincides with the songbird migration. The duration of time raptors remain on the island remains unknown. Merlins, the most abundant raptor observed during our counts, are commonly observed hunting passerines during the summer months and during migration on the island. Peregrines, more conspicuous to observers than Merlins during migration, are commonly observed soaring above the cliffs on the eastern side of the island (this observation site was not monitored during this study) and hunting in open areas during migration. Estimating the number of individual raptors that remain on the island or the duration of their stay is challenging. For example, >90 individual peregrine observations were observed from White Head on 5 October 2009 (C. Todd, MIDFW, pers. comm.); however, many were considered the same individuals, and only seven individuals could be reliably confirmed through simultaneous observation. Raptor surveys at Lighthouse Hill recorded >40 peregrine observations on the same day. The two transmitter-fitted female peregrines in this study, both of which had high body masses, stayed on the island for a minimum average of 36.5 hours (36 and 37 hrs) prior to departure. All but one raptor captured during this study had body masses considered reasonable for healthy migrants, the exception being an emaciated juvenile male peregrine. We suspect that poor body condition and a severe weather event (torrential downpours) both contributed to this bird’s eventual mortality on the island following the departure of the storm. Our surveys also documented that saw-whets use Monhegan as a stopover site during migration. Similar to our diurnal raptor counts, owl surveys represent first steps necessary in characterizing the owl migration on Monhegan. The two saw-whets that were trapped twice within the same season on the island provided the first information on the minimum staging time and body condition of saw-whets on the island. These two migrants stayed on the island a minimum average of 47.4 hrs (range 22.4 – 72.4 hrs). One owl gained weight between captures, while the weight
of the other individual declined between captures. Overall, our limited dataset indicated that the condition of owls, as indicated by body mass, appeared to be similar to weights of owls captured at other sites during migration. Given its location and relative isolation approximately 10 mi offshore, the finding that owls may commonly venture over open water to reach Monhegan despite the risks, is somewhat unexpected. While Monhegan Island is in line with the shortest distance migration path for migrants coming from other outer Maine islands (i.e., Metinic, Matinicus), birds reaching Monhegan from other nearby locations (i.e., Port Clyde, St. Georges Islands) would be increasing the distance of their migratory route to reach Monhegan. Learning more about the local flightpaths of owls in the region would help provide insights on the reasons why and extent to which owls detour (i.e., increase the length of their migratory route) to reach Monhegan.

Juvenile mortality is high in many raptor species such as the peregrine (Newton 1978), and a significant portion of bird mortality occurs during migration. Monhegan may play an important role in enabling some individuals to rest and refuel prior to continuing on their migration. This role may be particularly important for individuals in poor body condition. Degradation of conditions and resources at stopover sites may have significant implications for bird populations.

**8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY**

**Diurnal Raptor Surveys:** This study demonstrates that the species composition and other characteristics differ in the offshore raptor migration compared to inshore and especially inland sites. This may be the only raptor survey using standardized methods conducted to date in the offshore environment, and comparable data is lacking at other offshore sites in Maine or elsewhere along the mid-Atlantic. Annual variations are common in bird migration data due to weather, population fluctuations, and numerous other factors. We recommend conducting: (1) similar surveys at other sites in the Gulf of Maine and elsewhere, (2) surveys for multiple years at sites like Monhegan to assess annual variability, (3) surveys earlier (i.e., mid-August; similar to Acadia NP count) to better document the migration of “early” migrants such as Merlins and likely other species.

**Nocturnal Surveys:** This study provides first-time information documenting that saw-whets use Monhegan Island during migration; however, more dedicated comprehensive surveys are required to better estimate the use of Monhegan by saw-whets and make more meaningful comparisons to other sites. Alternate survey techniques will be required to survey other owl species. We recommend conducting additional surveys to: (1) improve our limited characterization of the timing and intensity of
the saw-whet owl migration at Monhegan during irruption and non-irruption years, (2) determine the local flighpaths of owls arriving on and leaving the island, (3) further document their migratory flight path, (4) better estimate the minimum staging time and evaluate body condition of migrant owls using Monhegan and offshore islands, and (5) evaluate the use of Monhegan and other offshore islands by other owl species (i.e., Boreal Owls).

**Satellite tracking:** The two juvenile female peregrines fitted with GPS satellite transmitters in this study provided valuable information on movements of this species at local, regional, and continental scales. The information gathered from these two transmitters is limited. Similar information on adults, males and spring flight paths is lacking. Some peregrine experts suspect that male and adult peregrines may travel further offshore compared to females and juveniles. We recommend continuing efforts to track migrant peregrines using satellite telemetry to achieve meaningful sample sizes of both sexes and age classes.

**Surveys relative to wind energy areas:** This study provides first-time quantifiable data indicating the potential for fall migrant raptors to fly through or near the state-designated Monhegan and Damariscove test sites, and the proposed lease site (MPUC 2012). In order to better characterize collision risk and to provide preconstruction data enabling evaluations of behavior changes of raptors post construction, we recommend: (1) conducting multi-year fall surveys within or closer to these sites, and (2) integrating visual raptor count data collected in these areas with other survey technologies, and (3) fitting satellite or other tracking devices to individuals “upwind” of Monhegan (i.e., Atlantic Canada).

**Migratory stopover sites:** Few efforts have been undertaken to characterize Monhegan’s value as a stopover site to migrating wildlife. We recommend further studies to quantify the role Monhegan and other Maine islands play in sustaining migratory wildlife populations.
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**Appendix A: Diurnal Raptor Survey Protocol**

**Protocol for Recording Raptor Distance, Height, Lateral Distance, Direction, and Weather**

**Distance codes for Monhegan Island, Maine observations:** (used on the Daily Observations form)

**Height:**
- 0 = 0 to 100 ft.
- 1 = 100 to 200 ft.
- 2 = 200 to 300 ft.
- 3 = 300 to 400 ft. etc.

**Lateral Distance:** No code, 100 m increments (round to nearest 100; i.e., a bird at 338 m = 3; a bird at 470 m = 5) and note direction (rounded to N, E, S, or W) to nearest flight approach. So a bird with a north to south flightpath 200 m to the west of the lookout would be noted as a 2W; a bird with a WSW flightpath passing ~200 m north of the lookout would have a LD of 2N (direction rounded to N).

**Flight Direction:** Note bird’s direction of flight (east, west, north or south; noted with E, W, N or S) from the lookout (next column). Note that from Lobster Cove Lookout (43.75660 N, 69.32222 W) to the SE corner of the island is .5 miles or 725 meters; and a line due south from Manana Island center is .27 miles or 420 meters.

**Weather Observation notes for the Migration Summary form:**

**Max. Vis. In Miles:** On the reverse in a daily weather summary to be used later in the project report, note the average morning/afternoon levels. Ex: 10/25

**Temperature:** On the reverse in a daily weather summary to be used later in the project report, note the lowest and highest temperature recorded during observation hours. Ex: 58/70

**% Cloud cover:** On the reverse in a daily weather summary to be used later in the project report, note the average morning/afternoon % cloud cover. Ex: 10/25%

**For wind:** On the reverse in a daily weather summary to be used later in the project report, record the average speed and direction for morning and afternoon. Example: SW10-E3

**Thermal Lift codes for hourly weather:** P=poor, F=fair, G=good, E=excellent. On the reverse in a daily weather summary to be used later in the project report record the best TL level recorded during the day. Also in the summary for later use in the report table record the day’s start and end times and the totals hours (to one decimal place). Example: 0900-1730 – 8.5hrs.

See the sample of the daily summary recorded on the back of the Raptor Migration Summary form.

*Datasheets used for this study were modified from those found at hawkcount.org*
Appendix B: Fact Sheet: Northern Saw-Whet Owls

Understanding Nocturnal Bird Migration in the Gulf of Maine: Owls

What We Studied

In 2010, BRI set out to better understand the migration and movement patterns of raptors, also known as birds of prey, as they moved through the Gulf of Maine at night (nocturnal raptors such as owls) and during the daytime (diurnal raptors such as hawks and falcons).

Northern Saw-whet Owls are a small species (they weigh about 1/5 of a pound) that migrates, breeds, and winters in Maine. In some locations their populations are thought to be decreasing, but saw-whets are not monitored as intensively in Maine as they are farther south. In Fall 2010, we caught saw-whet owls, at eight sites in coastal Maine, to gather information about their abundance and habitat use, and to determine their migration timing at different mainland and island locations along the coast (Figure 1).

What We Found

- BRI researchers caught 253 saw-whets in September and October 2010, with an average of 54 owls caught per 100 hours of effort (see map). Northern Saw-whet Owls appear to migrate in large numbers in coastal Maine.

- Maine coastal islands may be important stopover sites for owls during migration. Saw-whet migration intensity varied by location, but seemed to be comparable (see map). Recaptures and resighting data provide important information on potential owl migration patterns (see recaptures map on back page).

- Due to the coastal and offshore migration routes of some saw-whet owls, this species has the potential to be impacted by environmental changes in the Gulf of Maine.
Our Approach

BRI researchers have spent the last 15 years developing field techniques to capture and study wildlife without negatively affecting the animals. Owls were captured between dusk and dawn using fine mesh nets erected around an audiolure—an audio system playing saw-whet owl calls. Owls attracted by the calls flew into the nets, were caught in the mesh, and were harmlessly extracted, banded, aged (using UV light—see photo below) and released by BRI biologists. A small feather sample was taken from each bird to analyze for stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen, the ratios of which can provide geographic and habitat information about the breeding or hatching grounds of the birds. In addition to northern saw-whet owls, BRI also captured several barred owls, a long-eared owl, a dozen little brown bats, and a whippoorwill.

Researchers use an ultraviolet light to determine the age class of owls. Recently molted feathers reflect a brighter pink color under ultraviolet light compared to older feathers. Photo © Merra Howe

Northern Saw-whet Owls can be easily distinguished from other owls by their small size and markings such as the white “V” over their eyes. Photo © Chris DeSerbo

More Information

Additional information about this study and other BRI wildlife research can be found at www.briloon.org.

19 Flaggy Meadow Road Gorham, Maine 04038 +207-839-7600 www.briloon.org
Appendix C: Fact Sheet: Diurnal Raptors

Understanding Daytime Bird Migration in the Gulf of Maine: Raptors

What We Studied
In Fall 2010, BRI researchers studied the raptor migration on Monhegan Island to determine the general abundance, species composition, and timing of daytime migrants. Researchers also assessed birds' flight direction, height and other information by observing individuals departing the island, and tracked the migration routes of several captured individuals using satellite telemetry. Few studies exist documenting the raptor migration at offshore islands like Monhegan (10 miles offshore in midcoast Maine). This information is increasingly needed to help inform siting decisions for marine-based wind power facilities and other developments in Maine.

What We Found—Highlights

- Counts: We recorded 807 raptors passing by Monhegan Island over approximately a one-month period in the fall. This was the first intensive standardized raptor count conducted at this famous bird stopover site.

- Species: The species composition of the raptor flight at Monhegan was largely comprised of falcons (74%)—this differs significantly from counts conducted at many near shore or inland sites, where a substantial proportion of migrants tend to be open habitat and forest-dwelling hawks (accipiters and buteos) (Figure 1).

- Timing: The timing of the migration varied by species and in response to weather patterns. In general, migrating merlins (small dark falcons) were more abundant in late September, while migrating peregrine falcons were increasingly observed into early- and mid-October.

- Direction: Eighty-eight percent (of 791 individuals) of raptors departed the island in directions between west and southwest. Very few or no raptors were visible departing in other directions (Figure 2).

- Height: Eighty-eight percent of raptors were documented flying at or below approximately 60 m (200 ft).

- We observed 78 migrant northern harriers (10% of all migrants), a poorly studied, species of special concern in Maine. At least 60% of these individuals were immatures.
What We Found (continued)

- Two juvenile female peregrines were fitted with satellite transmitters and released to better understand their fall and spring migration routes, roosting locations, and wintering areas. The map (left) shows their movements through December 12, 2010. These birds followed the Atlantic Coast until approximately Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, where they veered out over open water towards Turks and Caicos in the Caribbean. These two falcons travelled more than 6,400 combined miles during the first two months. They overwintered in Cuba and Columbia.

- Twenty-five raptors (17 peregrines, 6 merlins, and 2 American kestrels) were captured at the site and released after birds were measured, sampled, and banded. One Peregrine Falcon was recaptured six days later by another researcher on Assateague Island, MD, close to 500 miles away.

A juvenile female Peregrine Falcon fitted with a solar GPS satellite transmitter. This transmitter enabled biologists to record information on this bird's daily movements between Monhegan Island and Colombia. Photo © Al Hinde

Figure 2. Flight direction recorded for diurnal (daytime) migrant raptors observed from the Monhegan Island vicinity, Fall 2010. Size of arrows is proportional to the number of raptors observed departing in each direction. Eighty-eight percent of raptors were observed departing in directions between West and Southwest.

More Information

Additional information about this study, including the full report, media coverage, downloadable materials, and other BRI studies can be found at www.briloo.org.

19 Raggy Meadow Road Gorham, Maine 04038 • 207-839-7600
www.briloo.org