Mercury in the Global Environment

Understanding Spatial Patterns for Biomonitoring Needs of the Minamata Convention on Mercury

September 2017
Contents

Introduction ................................................................................... 1
BRI Contributions to the Convention ............................................. 2
Translating Science to Policy ......................................................... 3
Global Biotic Mercury Synthesis ................................................... 4
Regional Spotlights: Biomonitoring by Geographic Area
  South America .............................................................................. 6
  Africa ........................................................................................... 7
  Southern Asia .............................................................................. 8
Mercury and Omega-3 in Fish ......................................................... 9
Global Fish Mercury Concentrations .............................................. 10
Global Marine Fish Harvest Data .................................................. 12
Case Studies: Biomonitoring by Taxa
  Billfish ........................................................................................... 13
  Tuna ............................................................................................... 14
  Herring, Sardines, and Anchovies ............................................. 15
  Freshwater Fish ........................................................................ 16
  Sea Turtles .................................................................................. 17
  Birds ............................................................................................ 18
  Marine Mammals .................................................................... 19
Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Convention ....................... 20
Resources and References ............................................................. 21
Introduction: Mercury in the Global Environment

Mercury (Hg) is a pollutant of global importance that adversely affects human health and the environment. Environmental concentrations of mercury have increased three-fold due to human industrial activities, and the world’s oceans are one of the primary reservoirs where mercury is deposited (Mason et al. 2012).

People are commonly exposed to mercury through the consumption of shellfish, fish, and some marine mammals. However, there is a gap in our understanding of the relationship between anthropogenic releases of mercury and its subsequent bioaccumulation and biomagnification in marine food webs, and how that may translate to human exposure and risk at the local, regional, and global scale (Gustin et al. 2016).

Global Biotic Mercury Synthesis Database

Biodiversity Research Institute (BRI) has compiled mercury data from published literature into a single database, the Global Biotic Mercury Synthesis (GBMS) Database. This database includes details about each organism sampled, its sampling location, and its basic ecological data. From each reference, mercury concentrations are averaged (using weighted arithmetic means) for each species at each location. Data from the GBMS database can be used to understand spatial and temporal patterns of mercury concentrations in biota. This information can also help establish baseline concentrations for a particular species and identify ecosystems most at risk to mercury inputs.

Mercury in the Global Environment

This report, Mercury in the Global Environment, presents data on mercury concentrations in biota of concern in Article 19 of the Minamata Convention (i.e., marine and freshwater fish, sea turtles, birds and marine mammals), which are extracted from the GBMS database. Mercury concentrations from key biota are presented and compared geographically and taxonomically through Case Studies.

Data for this report have been compiled from 832 different references, representing 108 countries, 1,963 unique locations, and 6,162 averaged mercury samples from 267,651 total individual organisms.

Together, these data can help raise awareness of potential risks and benefits of consuming key food items and thereafter help inform resource managers and decision makers about the species and places in which mercury represents a potential risk to human health, which can be partly based on harvest data by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO; Figure 12).

The GBMS database also represents a valuable tool for: (1) integrating mercury science into important policy decisions related to the Minamata Convention on Mercury (see page 2); (2) use by existing networks such as the Arctic Monitoring Assessment Programme (AMAP); and (3) protecting human health and the environment from the risks of mercury exposure (UN Environment 2013a).

Report Highlights

- Mercury contamination is ubiquitous in global marine and freshwater ecosystems.
- Mercury concentrations in sea turtles, birds, fish, and marine mammals vary by species and by ocean basin.
- Many potential food items, especially certain fish and marine mammals species, often contain mercury concentrations that exceed safe levels for human consumption.
- When considering healthy versus risky fish choices, consumers should also be aware of the benefits of consuming certain fish with high omega-3 fatty acids (see matrix on page 9).
- Biota, especially fish and birds, can serve as important and policy-relevant bioindicators for monitoring the impacts of environmental mercury loads for both human and ecological health.
- BRI’s GBMS database provides a standardized and comprehensive platform for understanding mercury concentrations that can aid parties to the Minamata Convention during the ratification and implementation process.
BRI’s Contributions to the Minamata Convention on Mercury

BRI is assisting in multiple ways with the ratification and implementation of the Minamata Convention on Mercury—a globally binding agreement facilitated by United Nations Environment that addresses the management of mercury and the risks this toxin poses to human health and the environment (UNEP 2013b).

BRI is a co-lead of UN Environment’s Mercury Air Transport and Fate Research Partnership Area and a member of the Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining (ASGM) Partnership Area. BRI is also an Executing Agency of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and serves as an international advisor for the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) to help coordinate and facilitate enabling activities to conduct Minamata Initial Assessments for more than 30 countries.

**GBMS and the Minamata Convention**
The GBMS database is designed to assist with tracking long-term trends of mercury in the environment and for identifying biological mercury hotspots around the world. In addition, GBMS helps us to identify fish and wildlife species that are at risk of high mercury exposure, which may also provide insight on risk to humans (from fish consumption or other means of methylmercury transfer in the foodweb). Overall, GBMS has applications to several articles within the Minamata Convention (Table 1).

**GBMS and Environment Live**
BRI is collaborating with the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry and the Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel of the Global Environment Facility to make data within GBMS available to all interested Parties of the Minamata Convention. Data from GBMS will be incorporated into the Environment Live website (www.uneplive.org). This online interactive knowledge platform is designed to help Parties identify existing data relevant to their country and region, and will also provide resources that support country-level reporting requirements related to the Convention.

---

*GBMS represents a comprehensive, standardized, and cost effective approach for documenting and tracking changes in environmental loads of mercury as reflected in fish and wildlife. The use of key indicator organisms, such as apex predators, that are sensitive to environmental change is an integral part of a long-term monitoring program. The data included in GBMS represents an important opportunity to better integrate mercury science into important policy decisions related to the long-term management of local, regional, and global resources.

**Table 1.** Connection between BRI’s Global Biotic Mercury Synthesis Database and the Minamata Convention requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives of Mercury in the Global Environment Project</th>
<th>Minamata Convention Article</th>
<th>Linkages between GBMS and Minamata Convention Articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Identify global biological mercury hotspots and link those hotspots to potential mercury source types. | **Article 12:** Contaminated Sites  
**Article 19:** Research, Development, and Monitoring | • Biotic mercury concentrations can help identify sites contaminated by mercury using mercury isotopes.  
• Biotic mercury concentrations can be used to inform human and environmental risk assessments. |
| Compile and present mercury data in an easy-to-access and easy-to-understand format through such portals as Environment Live. | **Article 14:** Capacity-building, Technical Assistance, and Technology Transfer  
**Article 17:** Information Exchange  
**Article 18:** Public Information, Awareness, and Education | • GBMS provides a model for database development used to compile and interpret biotic mercury concentrations.  
• GBMS facilitates the exchange of scientific information between the scientific community, the policy sector, and the general public. |
| Identify bioindicators (fish, sea turtles, birds, and marine mammals) for long-term monitoring to reflect relevant spatial and temporal trends. | **Article 16:** Health aspects  
**Article 19:** Research, Development, and Monitoring | GBMS represents a comprehensive database on mercury concentrations that:  
• can be used to inform models on mercury concentrations in environmental media;  
• is a tool for assessing potential risk of human exposure to mercury via fish consumption;  
• documents the fate of mercury in freshwater and marine ecosystems;  
• can provide countries with important information about fish mercury concentrations within their national waters. |
| Establish a baseline of mercury concentrations including spatial and temporal trends. | **Article 22:** Effectiveness Evaluation | • Mercury concentrations in GBMS provide a baseline of monitoring data for assessing the effectiveness of the treaty. |
BRI’s Five-Step Process for Translating Science to Policy

**STEP 1: Collaborate on Scientific Research and Data Collection**

- **Develop Regional Monitoring Hubs**
  - Forming mercury monitoring hubs for field sampling and lab analysis improves local capacity and interest.

- **Form Databases**
  - An integrated database on mercury provides a basis for understanding global temporal and spatial patterns.

- **Create Global Mercury Partnerships**
  - International collaborations with other scientists, governments, and nonprofits help galvanize working relationships on a global scale.

**STEP 2: Publish Scientific Findings**

- **Peer-reviewed Journals**
  - Scientific findings published in professional journals help provide a strong basis for confident policy decisions.

**STEP 3: Translate Science**

- **Science Communications and Public Outreach**
  - Translation of published findings into succinct, clear language, engages readers who are not experts in the field; photography and infographics help convey complex scientific concepts.

  - These outreach materials serve as a foundation for:
    - press conferences
    - policy development workshops
    - legislative hearings
    - public events

**STEP 4: Increase Capacity and Raise Stakeholder Awareness**

- **Environment LIVE Website**
  - Improving access to information and worldwide activities related to mercury research and policy can be efficiently achieved through the Internet.

**STEP 5: Inform the Policy Process**

- **Policy and Regulations**
  - Clear, concise translations of scientific findings relevant to policy help standardize best practices needed by the Minamata Convention.
Figure 1. Global Biotic Mercury Synthesis (GMBS)

The data presented here emphasize the global distribution of marine and freshwater fish, sea turtles, seabirds and other avian species that forage in coastal areas, and marine mammals. Thresholds shown are for human health dietary purposes, except for birds which reflect reproductive harm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Total Mercury Concentrations (ppm, ww [or *fw])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharks and Allies</td>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>&lt;0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Mammals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Turtles</td>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>&lt;0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body Feathers*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our Approach:

Mercury concentrations in the GBMS database\(^1\) represent various tissue types depending on the taxon reported. All fish, shark, and marine mammal Hg concentrations represent muscle tissue on a parts per million (ppm), wet weight (ww) basis. The database also summarizes mercury concentrations in sea turtle eggs (ppm, ww); bird blood and eggs (ppm, ww); and bird body feathers (ppm, fresh weight [fw]).

Where appropriate, Hg data reported as dry weight are converted to ww using a percent moisture content specific to the taxon and tissue type (fish tissue, eggs, and blood: 80% moisture; marine mammal muscle: 72%, liver: 70%, kidney: 77%, and skin: 73%; Yang et al. 2003). In instances where marine mammal Hg concentrations are reported in literature for only for liver, kidney, or skin, tissue data are converted to muscle equivalents using regressions created using paired muscle-tissue Hg concentrations reported in other published literature included in the database.

\(^1\)Because \(>95\%\) of the Hg in all tissues herein is methylmercury (e.g., Bloom 1992), THg concentrations from the published sources are not converted to methylmercury. Hg concentrations are not normalized by organism size.
UN Environment and AMAP identify approximately 262 tonnes of mercury emissions and releases in South America with the primary source from artisanal and small-scale gold mining (ASGM; AMAP/UN Environment 2013). The GBMS database includes 185 references, totaling more than 24,000 samples of fish mercury concentrations from inland and nearshore locations in South America (Figure 2). Data are updated regularly to reflect new research on mercury in biota.

Numerous references also document mercury concentrations in humans, particularly from rural, riverine communities living within the Amazon Basin. These sources will be included in a separate human health database.

**Mercury, Mining, and the Environment**

The majority of data on mercury in fish from South America has been collected from areas affected by ASGM. Mercury use is widespread in ASGM communities and much of the mercury used in the gold mining process is released into adjacent water bodies. This mercury can then accumulate within aquatic food webs, affecting the environment and adjacent communities that rely on these waterbodies for their fisheries.

The GBMS database provides a baseline of historic and current fish mercury concentrations from areas affected by ASGM and can provide a tool for monitoring the effectiveness of future mercury reduction strategies.

**Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining (ASGM)**

ASGM is the single largest anthropogenic source of mercury into the environment. Globally, emissions to air total approximately 727 tonnes per year while direct releases to land and water are estimated to be 800 tonnes per year.

The Minamata Convention seeks to reduce and, where possible, eliminate the use of mercury in ASGM. UN Environment’s Global Mercury Partnership includes a special partnership area that is focused on supporting governments in their efforts to meet the goals of the Convention and to provide advice on transitioning away from the use of mercury in ASGM (UN Environment 2013a).
Mercury emissions estimates for Africa total approximately 330 tonnes per year. In western Africa and parts of the East African rift valley, ASGM accounts for the majority of mercury emissions, while coal combustion and industrial-scale gold mining are the primary sectors responsible for emissions in the southern part of the continent (AMAP/UN Environment 2013).

**The Need for Further Research**

Overall, there is a lack of comprehensive fish mercury monitoring data across the continent of Africa. Of the more than 800 inland waterbodies on the continent, a recent study found fish mercury data reported from only 31 of them (Hanna et al. 2015).

A majority of the studies have been conducted in areas known to be contaminated by ASGM activities (Black et al. 2011) and while mercury concentrations in fishes from across Africa are generally low (Figure 3), concentrations approach, and in some cases exceed, World Health Organization guidelines for human consumption (i.e., 0.5 ppm, ww). There is an important need for more extensive monitoring of mercury across the African continent in order to confirm the hypothesis that mercury concentrations across the continent are “anomalously” low. Freshwater fisheries (e.g., Nile perch) are important in both domestic and international markets. A more comprehensive understanding of potential risks associated with fish consumption is an important step towards effective monitoring and evaluation for African countries that are signatories to the Minamata Convention.

**The Global Environment Facility’s GOLD Program**

**Addressing Mercury Pollution from Artisanal Gold Mining**

The Global Environment Facility (GEF) Council recently approved a program to address Global Opportunities for Long-term Development (GOLD) in the ASGM sector, including provisions to address mercury pollution from the sector. The collaborative foundation of the GOLD program brings together key players in ASGM, including governments, agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and the private sector. The program has strong private sector engagement, including major jewelers, electronics manufacturers, gold refiners, and potentially commercial banks. This collaboration creates an environment where the public and private sector can work together to support ASGM communities.

Burkina Faso and Kenya are the representative African countries of the GOLD project. Other participating countries include: Colombia, Guyana, Indonesia, Mongolia, Peru, and the Philippines.

For more information, visit: [www.thegef.org](http://www.thegef.org)
Regional Spotlight: Southern Asia

Figure 4. The distribution of mercury in biota, specifically fish, sea turtles, birds, and marine mammals, greatly varies across southern Asia and associated ocean basins and therefore requires an integrated mercury monitoring program.

Based on preliminary data from the new AMAP/UN Environment mercury emissions model, 904 tonnes of mercury are being released in southern Asia primarily from coal-fired power facilities and there continues to be an increase in coal combustion for power generation across much of Asia (AMAP/UN Environment 2013). In addition, awareness of ASGM activities in southern Asia, such as in Indonesia and the Philippines, has increased in recent years. This sector is an important source of mercury emissions to the air and of direct releases of mercury to land and water.

Mercury in Biota
Mercury concentrations in fish from freshwater ecosystems across much of Southeast Asia (as well as Africa and the Middle East) are generally low (Figure 4). However, mercury concentrations in fish, sharks, and marine mammals from nearshore areas in eastern Asia and the Indian Ocean tend to be elevated. There is generally a lack of data from areas such as the South China Sea and Indonesia and associated islands.

Mercury and Human Health
Seafood represents an important source of protein for many communities in Southeast Asia (Agusa et al. 2007). BRI is partnering with UN Environment and IPEN to assess mercury exposure in people with diets high in fish. The project, which builds from a previous and similar study (Trasande et al. 2016) focuses on women of childbearing age in southern Asia as well as in Small Island Developing States in the South Pacific and Indian Ocean.
The matrix below illustrates the interactions between the health risks posed by mercury concentrations and the health benefits of omega-3 fatty acids (Figure 5). Those species or groups with low mercury levels and high omega-3 fatty acids are the healthiest options, while those with elevated mercury body burdens and low omega-3 fatty acids are riskier and less nutritious choices.

Most fish contain omega-3 fatty acids; however, there is a trade-off in health benefits from those fish that also contain high mercury levels. Omega-3 fatty acids are necessary for human health but the body cannot produce them, so people must eat foods that contain these essential fatty acids. Research shows that omega-3 fatty acids reduce inflammation and may help lower risk of chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, and arthritis. Omega-3 fatty acids are highly concentrated in the brain and appear to be important for cognitive (e.g., brain memory and performance) and behavioral function.

While selenium plays a role in demethylating mercury, and thus reducing methymercury loads in the body, the extent of the protective abilities of selenium are not fully understood (Ralston & Raymond 2010; Whitfield et al. 2010).

### Table 1: Milligrams of Omega-3 Fatty Acids/4 Ounces of Cooked Fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meal Frequency Recommendations</th>
<th>&lt;500 mg</th>
<th>500-1000 mg</th>
<th>1000-2000 mg</th>
<th>&gt; 2000 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted meals (&lt; 0.05 µg/g)</td>
<td>Catfish, Clams, Crab* (most species), Croaker, Haddock, Scallops, Shrimp, Tilapia*</td>
<td>Blue Mussels*, Pink Salmon, Sockeye Salmon</td>
<td>Chinook Salmon*, Coho Salmon, Oysters</td>
<td>Atlantic Salmon, Sardines, Shad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 meals per week (0.05–0.22 µg/g)</td>
<td>Atlantic and Pacific Cod, Flounder, Grenadier, Hake, Lobster*, Scad, Seabream, Sole</td>
<td>Atlantic Pollock, Mahi Mahi, Mullet, Scad, Squid, Skipjack Tuna, any canned tuna</td>
<td>Atlantic Horse Mackerel, European Sea Bass, Rays, Skates, Trout</td>
<td>Anchovies*, Herring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 meal per month (0.22–0.95 µg/g)</td>
<td>Grouper, Orange Roughy, Snapper</td>
<td>Amberjack, Barracuda, Bigeye Tuna, Bluefish, Halibut, Jack, Trevally, Yellowfin Tuna, Wahoo</td>
<td>Atlantic and Pacific Mackerel, Albacore Tuna*, Atlantic Bluefin Tuna, Chilean Sea Bass</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No consumption (&gt; 0.95 µg/g)</td>
<td>King Mackerel</td>
<td>Marlin, Sailfish, Tilefish</td>
<td>Dogfish, Ground, and Mackerel Sharks; Pacific Bluefin Tuna, Swordfish*</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 5. This matrix provides a general guideline for assessing healthier and riskier seafood choices. Country-specific matrices are planned.

Data Sources: BRI’s GBMS Database; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA); U.S. Food and Drug Administration, University of Maryland Medical Center website

1 Table 2 (page 10) provides background

* Species pictured

**World Health Organization**

The primary role of the World Health Organization (WHO) is to direct and coordinate international health within the United Nations’ system. WHO supports the needs of Parties to the Minamata Convention on Mercury by gathering evidence about the health impacts of the different forms of mercury, providing guidance on identifying populations at risk from mercury exposure, and developing tools to reduce mercury exposure. For more information, visit: [http://www.who.int/en/](http://www.who.int/en/)

Mercury concentrations vary widely across shark species (see Figure 8).
Global Fish Mercury Concentrations

Methylmercury is known to affect neurological development in children and is also linked to cardiovascular disease in adults (Clarkson et al. 2003; Valera et al. 2011; Grandjean et al. 2012).

Seafood mercury concentrations, best known in fish, are most studied in North America and Europe and least studied in Africa, Asia, and South America (Karimi et al. 2012). However, even in the United States, monitoring of seafood mercury concentrations needs improvement to ensure accurate exposure estimates over time (Sunderland 2007). For this report, fish and marine mammal mercury concentrations are compared with consumption guidelines (Table 2).

**Healthier Fish Choices**

Globally, mercury concentrations are lowest in smaller, short-lived fish. There are many regularly harvested fish, such as anchovies, sardines, flounder, cod, salmon, and haddock, that can be safely consumed on either a daily or weekly basis (Figure 6). These species, and many others, are often harvested commercially and shipped through global markets.

Note that some species in some regions can exceed safe weekly consumption levels (e.g., anchovies from the Atlantic Ocean contain average mercury concentrations greater than 0.11 ppm, ww).

**Interpreting Mercury Concentrations and Risks of Exposure**

Mercury concentrations presented can be compared with the number of seafood meals that could be eaten at to stay within the U.S. EPA’s health-based reference dose for methylmercury (see Table 2 for the fish meal limits by methylmercury concentration, and U.S. EPA [2001] for details on how meal limits were calculated). For further reference, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the European Commission (EC) general guidance level for fish mercury concentrations is 0.5 ppm with an “exemption” for larger, predatory fish species (e.g., swordfish, shark, some tuna species) of up to 1.0 ppm which is similar to the U.S. EPA “no consumption” level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mercury in Seafood (ppm, ww)</th>
<th>Consumption Guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0.05</td>
<td>unrestricted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05-0.11</td>
<td>2 meals per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11-0.22</td>
<td>1 meal per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22-0.95</td>
<td>1 meal per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.95</td>
<td>no consumption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Seafood mercury concentrations and associated meal frequency guidelines. The guidance is based on the U.S. EPA reference dose of 1x10^-4 mg of Hg/kg of body weight/day, a body weight of 132 pounds (60 kg) for an adult female person, and a fish meal size of about 6 ounces (170 gm). These guidelines, with further interpretation by the Great Lakes Consortium (GLC; Great Lakes Fish Advisory Workgroup. 2007), could also be used for muscle tissues in marine mammals because >95% of Hg in marine mammals is in the methyl form. However, shellfish Hg concentrations greatly vary in percent methyl and therefore the consumption guidance provided here cannot be directly used with shellfish Hg data provided herein.

**Figure 6.** Average (+/- SD; N=sample size) THg concentration of fish muscle tissue shown with consumption guidance levels as outlined by the Great Lakes Consortium (GLC; Table 2). These fish represent regularly consumed species that have average Hg concentrations ≤ 0.22 ppm, ww.

**Healthier Fish Choices**

- Mahi mahi (N=905)
- Snapper (N=782)
- Sole (N=97)
- Shad (N=180)
- Salmon (N=1425)
- Hake (N=74)
- Northern Hemisphere Flounder (N=833)
- Mullet (N=1545)
- Anchovy (N=187)
- Atlantic and Pacific Cod (N=577)
- Sardine (N=301)
- Pollock (N=87)
- Herring (N=742)
- Haddock (N=26)

S.D. = 0.32

Lower trophic fish such as salmon are safer to eat.
**Riskier Fish Choices**

Mercury concentrations are highest in large, long-lived species, many of which are pelagic. Marlin, king mackerel, bigeye tuna, and bluefin tunas (which can approach 1,000 pounds) have some of the highest mercury concentrations of any fish in the GBMS database (Figure 7). These and other commonly consumed fish species have average mercury body burdens that approach the “no consumption” guidance level of 0.95 ppm, ww (Figure 7; Table 2).

While less than one percent of the world seafood harvest includes sharks, shark meat is sought after in several European and Central American countries and the demand for certain shark products (e.g., fins) in Asia drives a rapidly expanding global shark fishery (Vannuccini 1999; Musick and Musick 2011). Generally, mercury concentrations in sharks exceed safe consumption guidelines (Figure 8; N=9,035).

For commonly encountered shark species such as bull, lemon, and nurse sharks, average mercury concentrations compared globally indicate highest levels in the Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Mexico.

**Figure 7.** Average (+/- S.D; sample size = N) total Hg concentration in fish muscle tissue shown with consumption guidance levels (Table 2). Fish in this chart represent species regularly consumed and having average muscle Hg concentrations > 0.22 ppm, ww.

**Figure 8.** Average (+/- SD; N=sample size) total Hg concentration in muscle tissue of seven shark and four skate-ray orders shown with consumption guidance levels (Table 2). As long-lived apex predators found in all ocean basins, sharks and rays are consistently high in their body burdens of mercury. The toxic form, methylmercury, is also high and the potential impacts to shark physiology, behavior, and reproductive success remains relatively unknown. Recent evidence does indicate some physiological impacts (Hammerschlag et al. 2016) and more research is urgently needed.
In 2013, global fish harvest (wild caught and aquaculture) totaled 147.9 million tonnes, with wild caught fishes accounting for approximately 67.6 million tonnes (45.7 percent) of the total production (FAO 2015).

Pelagic fishes (i.e., tunas, mackerels, and billfish) and clupeiformes (i.e. herrings, anchovies, and sardines) dominate global fish capture (Figures 7 and 8); the Peruvian anchovy and the Skipjack tuna are the two most captured species by weight. Coastal fishes include a taxonomically diverse group of species (i.e., groupers, seabass, snook, and snappers, etc.); these fishes are important commercially, as well as to local fishing communities. Benthic and demersal fishes (i.e., cod, haddock, and flounder) account for a substantial portion of the global commercial fishery.

Many pelagic species are long-lived apex predators that migrate across the world’s oceans. Their long lives put them at risk of accumulating high levels of mercury. Many of these long-lived pelagic species are among the riskier choices of fish for consumption (see Figure 5).

Lower trophic level fishes such as herrings, anchovies, and sardines, as well as demersal fish, all of which are harvested in substantial numbers, generally accumulate less mercury over time, making them generally healthier food choices than other species.
There are 12 species of billfish. These long-lived apex predators have muscle tissue mercury concentrations that are some of the highest for fish outside of known contaminated sites. Based on the GBMS database, the Atlantic and Indo-Pacific blue marlins (*Makaira* spp.; estimated annual harvest of 439 and 38,755 tonnes respectively; FAO 2015) have the highest average mercury concentrations (> 2.5 ppm, ww) for all billfish (Figure 11). This is due, in part, to their large size of more than 800 kg (or 1,700 lbs). Based on FAO data, annual commercial harvest of all billfish is approximately 226,610 tonnes. Swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) is the most frequently harvested species, comprising approximately 50 percent (114,435 tonnes) of the total (FAO 2015).

In addition to commercial importance, billfish are prized in the recreational fish industry. As sport fish, billfish are often tagged and released, so their movements within and among ocean basins can be tracked; more than 300,000 individuals have been tagged since the 1950s (Ortiz et al. 2003).

Because of the wide distribution and relative abundance of swordfish, mercury concentrations in this species are comparable worldwide. This provides opportunity for a global, single species comparison. Average swordfish mercury concentrations (Figure 11a inset) are lower than the 1.0 ppm, ww human consumption threshold set by WHO, but generally higher than the 0.3 and 0.5 ppm, ww thresholds used by the U.S. EPA and the European Commission (excepting the Mediterranean Sea populations). The highest average mercury concentrations for swordfish in the GBMS database are from the Pacific, North Atlantic and Indian Ocean basins, with average mercury concentrations nearing 1.0 ppm in each basin (Figure 11a inset). The majority (49 percent) of the global harvest of swordfish also comes from the Pacific, with another 25 percent from the Indian Ocean, and the remainder split between the Atlantic Ocean (17 percent) and the Mediterranean and Black Seas (8 percent; FAO 2015).

Also of note, fish mercury concentrations in the North Pacific Ocean Basin are expected to increase because of air emissions originating from Asia (Sunderland et al. 2009). The relationship between high mercury values and high harvest areas should be considered when assessing apex predator food options such as swordfish.

Streamlined for speed, sailfish are regarded as the fastest fish in the world’s oceans. There are two species—the Atlantic sailfish (*Istiophorus albicans*) and the Indo-Pacific sailfish (*I. platypterus*). Popular sport fish, sailfish have muscle mercury concentrations similar to those in the more commercially important swordfish.

---

### Figure 11

**Total Mercury Concentrations in Billfish Muscle Tissue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Billfish Species</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Average Mercury Concentration (ppm; ww)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue marlins (N=304)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striped marlin (N=55)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indo-Pacific sailfish (N=108)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swordfish (N=1779)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic white marlin (N=2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortbill spearfish (N=20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 11a.** Average (+/- SD; N=sample size) total Hg concentration in muscle tissue of seven billfish species (Atlantic and Indo-Pacific blue marlins are combined) compared against consumption guidance level (Table 2). Inset graph shows average total mercury concentrations of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) muscle tissue from six ocean regions.
Most tuna species are large marine apex predators and many are regularly listed on fish consumption advisories (Kaneko and Ralston 2007). However, tuna are consistently among the top five commodities in the global fish market. Skipjack, albacore, and yellowfin are the species most commonly utilized by the tuna canning industry, while bluefin tuna species are especially desired for direct consumption (FAO 2004).

Figure 12 compares mercury data from GBMS in several tuna species with their capture totals from FAO. The most highly sought after tuna species, skipjack tuna, also has the lowest mean mercury concentration. Albacore tuna have mercury concentrations that fall below the GLC/USEPA consumption guideline, while the bluefin, bigeye, and blackfin tuna exceeded the EC threshold guideline of 0.5 ppm. Bluefin tuna generally have high mean mercury concentrations as seen in the GBMS database, but represent a relatively small portion of the overall tuna capture.

Recent research suggests that present atmospheric mercury deposition rates will result in an approximate doubling of mercury concentrations by 2050, particularly in the North Pacific Ocean (Sunderland et al. 2009). Assuming methylmercury production and bioaccumulation follow current patterns, such deposition rates will likely result in significant increases in mercury concentrations in apex marine predators such as tuna.

**Figure 12.** Average (+/- SD; N=sample size) total Hg concentration in muscle tissue of nine tuna species compared with the FAO harvest estimate in tonnes. See Table 2 (page 10) for mercury consumption guidelines. * FAO harvest is less than 15,000 tonnes.
Clupeiform fishes (e.g., herring, sardines, and anchovies) are small, pelagic fishes often found in large schools. They dominated the overall global fisheries harvest in 2013, accounting for more than 20 percent of the total harvest (Figure 10).

The single largest clupeiform fishery is the Peruvian anchovy whose total harvest exceeded 5 million tonnes in 2013 (FAO 2015). Clupeiform harvest (Figure 13) is generally dominated by catches from the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, with relatively lower harvests from the Indian Ocean and Mediterranean Sea.

Clupeiform fishes feed primarily on plankton and other small organisms in the water column and are considered forage fish. They occupy an important position within the marine food web, serving as primary prey items for other larger marine fishes.

Mercury concentrations in clupeiforms are generally low (Figure 13), in part because of their low trophic level. Shad and anchovy average over 0.10 ppm (ww), while sprat are the lowest—averaging <0.04 ppm (ww). Menhaden are important prey items for many seabirds, especially loon, osprey, and gannets.

Even though clupeiforms tend to accumulate much less mercury on average than other marine biota, they are still an important component of long-term mercury monitoring. Quantifying mercury concentrations from lower trophic level groups in high mercury ocean basins provides valuable insights into the short-term changes that are more challenging to understand in old and higher trophic level fish.

**Figure 13.** Average (+/– SD; N=sample size) total mercury concentrations of muscle tissue from six clupeiform groups compared to the 2013 FAO harvest estimate in tonnes. See Table 2 (page 10) for mercury consumption guidelines.
Long-term mercury monitoring in freshwater fish is common for many countries and allows for spatial and temporal changes to be observed over relatively large regions (Monson 2009, Monson et al. 2011, Eagles-Smith et al. 2016). Prior to undertaking any long-term monitoring program, it is important for scientists, resource managers, and decision makers to work together to ensure that any monitoring approach can accurately evaluate the effectiveness of proposed mercury reduction strategies (Evers et al. 2011, Evers et al. 2016).

**Biomonitoring in Temperate Areas**

Freshwater fish are commonly used as a monitoring and assessment tool for mercury contamination in lakes. In North America (Figure 14) and across parts of Europe (e.g., Scandinavia), mercury monitoring has been conducted for decades across a wide range of freshwater ecosystems (i.e., lakes, ponds, reservoirs, rivers). Broad taxonomic differences in gamefish mercury body burdens observed in the order Perciformes and Esociformes illustrates the variation that should be considered for large-scale mercury biomonitoring efforts for temperate lakes and rivers (Figure 14).

These data provide critical information that are used in the development of fish consumption guidelines for the protection of human and ecological health.

**Biomonitoring in Tropical Areas**

The GBMS database also includes numerous studies from tropical regions where ASGM activities are perceived as the primary source of mercury released into the environment. Paired comparisons of mercury concentrations in fish from the same taxonomic classification (i.e., order) between temperate and tropical areas broadly indicates that tropical fish tend to have higher mercury concentrations than their temperate counterparts (i.e., four of six pairings are higher for tropical fish; Figure 15). For example, tropical catfish have higher average mercury concentrations—they are often associated with ASGM activities—whereas catfish from temperate areas may less likely be associated with contaminated areas.

![Figure 14. Average (+/– SD; N=sample size) total mercury in freshwater fish for seven genera showing the GLC human health threshold of 0.22 ppm, ww. See Table 2 (page 10) for mercury consumption guidelines.](image)

![Figure 15. Average (+/– SD; N=sample size) total mercury in freshwater tropical and temperate fish for six orders, showing the GLC human health threshold of 0.22 ppm, ww. See Table 2 (page 10) for mercury consumption guidelines.](image)
CASE STUDY

Sea Turtles

The seven species of sea turtles are found across all warm and temperate waters, often migrating hundreds of miles between nesting and feeding grounds. All marine waters can create elevated levels of mercury body burdens in biota, including sea turtles. And, while sea turtles are long-lived and slow growing (creating an opportunity for methylmercury to bioaccumulate over time), most species forage on seagrass, sponges, and slow moving animals such as zooplankton and jellyfish—all of which occupy the lower parts of the food web and therefore create minimal opportunities for methylmercury to biomagnify.

Yet, sea turtles can be important bioindicators of short-term (e.g., blood sampling) and long-term changes (e.g., scute sampling) of environmental mercury loads in marine ecosystems.

Several studies have used sea turtles for developing biomonitoring efforts for mercury in coastal areas (e.g., southeastern United States; Day et al. 2005), where subtle negative impacts were measured in health parameters for the loggerhead sea turtle (Day et al. 2007). Mercury has been measured in five of the seven species of sea turtles and those data are contained in the GBMS database (Figure 16).

Areas where sea turtles may need to be monitored for elevated levels of mercury include the Caribbean Sea (especially the Gulf of Honduras), Mediterranean Sea, Arabian Sea, and other constrained marine areas such as bays.

Sea turtles and their eggs may be consumed and their mercury concentrations can have adverse impacts on human and ecological health—in some coastal Pacific communities, researchers have identified potential human health concerns of sea turtle egg consumption because of mercury and other heavy metals (Ross et al. 2016).

Turtle eggs can contain elevated levels of methylmercury and may pose a threat to human health if consumed. While all sea turtle species are protected by various national and international laws, consumption of their eggs remains a common practice in some communities and countries.

Wider Caribbean Sea Turtle Conservation Network (WIDECAST)

A network of biologists, managers, community leaders, and educators in more than 40 Caribbean nations and territories, WIDECAST seeks to bring the best available science to legislation and policy; to education, training and outreach; to conservation and advocacy; and to in situ research and population monitoring for the recovery and sustainable management of depleted sea turtle populations. Mercury biomonitoring can help track the success of environmental mercury reduction as part of the Minamata Convention. For more information, visit: www.widecast.org

Figure 16. Average (+/– SD; N=sample size) total mercury in sea turtle eggs by species. Inset graph shows average total mercury concentrations of sea turtle eggs from five major ocean basins. See Table 2 (page 10) for mercury consumption guidelines.
**CASE STUDY**

**Birds**

Yellow-billed Loons are long-lived, fish-eating birds that breed on the high tundra and are at high risk to mercury contaminations.

Birds are excellent bioindicators for measuring the availability of methylmercury in aquatic and terrestrial environments. Hundreds of studies from around the world have documented mercury body burdens in birds—using a combination of eggs, blood, and/or feathers. Importantly, the physiological, behavioral, and reproductive effects of methylmercury on birds viewed through these and other tissues can be confidently identified while using a scalable outcome, such as reproductive success. Mercury concentrations and associated toxicity thresholds vary by species, particularly among foraging guilds (e.g., piscivores versus invertivores).

Piscivores, or fish-eating birds, can regularly have elevated mercury levels from foraging in freshwater, brackish, and marine ecosystems.

Within the GBMS database there are 28,898 individuals measured for mercury in 38 countries based on 233 peer-reviewed papers (mercury data compilation to date has emphasized piscivores). Bird families with average blood mercury concentrations > 1.0 ppm (below of which is relatively safe) include Phalacrocoracidae (cormorants), Diomedeidae (albatrosses), Gaviidae (loons or divers), Laridae (gulls and terns), Fregatidae (frigatebirds), and Stercorarius (skuas; Figure 17).

Across the world’s freshwater and brackish ecosystems, gulls and terns are broadly used for determining environment mercury loads. Conversely, other fish-eating birds that have elevated blood mercury levels, such as cormorants, frigatebirds, and skuas, are less likely to be used for biomonitoring purposes. Finally, while the data are not shown, the Osprey, an obligate fish-eating raptor, has a wide distribution and is one of the few species that can be used as a global standard.

**The Saltmarsh Sparrow**, an invertivore or invertebrate-eating songbird, lives in estuaries along the North Atlantic and often has elevated mercury body burdens. Even though songbirds may be small, they are often at higher risk to mercury than associated and larger fish-eating birds because they occupy upper levels in the food web.

---

**Total Mercury Concentrations in Bird Blood – Adults**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bird Family</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cormorants and Shags</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albatrosses</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loons</td>
<td>3243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulls and Terns</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frigatebirds</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skuas</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Petrels</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avocets and Stilts</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulmars, Petrels, Shearwaters</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penguins</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diving Petrels</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While tracking seafood mercury concentrations commonly emphasizes shellfish and fish, marine mammals should also be considered for human health assessment purposes. Marine mammals are a traditional component of the diet of many subsistence communities around the world, particularly in the Arctic. Research suggests that mercury emissions originating at lower latitudes are regularly transported to and deposited in the Arctic, and there is now added concern that warmer temperatures may be rapidly remobilizing formerly bound mercury stores from thawing glaciers, sediment, and permafrost (AMAP 2011). Increased levels of mercury in fish and wildlife within the Arctic may be resulting from increasing mercury inputs as well as changes in the Arctic ecosystems. Based on data from our GBMS database, average marine mammal muscle tissue mercury concentrations are generally above safe consumption levels in all ocean basins. Because human communities within the Arctic Ocean can depend greatly on marine mammals, mercury concentrations in those mammals are of special concern.

Beluga whales, narwhals, and pilot whales are commonly harvested and often have muscle mercury concentrations that exceed human health consumption guidelines of one meal per month (i.e., based on mercury concentrations between 0.22 and 0.95 ppm, ww; Figure 18). The effect thresholds for marine mammals are poorly understood, but based on effect thresholds for terrestrial mammals, mercury exposure could be having significant adverse impacts on the reproductive success of marine mammals.

### Mercury in the Global Environment: Marine Mammals

From the Antarctic to the Arctic, marine mammals move across great expanses of water. These animals are adversely affected by mercury pollution accumulating in the world’s oceans. This new BRI publication helps illustrate the impacts of methylmercury biomagnification (increasing toxicity as the toxin moves up the foodweb) and bioaccumulation on marine mammals, with an emphasis on Arctic ecosystems. To download this and other BRI publications, visit: [www.briloon.org/hgcenter](http://www.briloon.org/hgcenter).

#### FAO Harvest and Total Mercury Concentrations in Muscle Tissue of Marine Mammals

![Bar chart showing FAO harvest and total mercury concentrations in muscle tissue of marine mammals](chart.png)

*Figure 18. Average (+/- SD; N=sample size) total Hg concentration in muscle tissue of nine marine mammal species compared to the 2013 FAO harvest estimate in tonnes, divided by sub-order. See Table 2 (page 10) for mercury consumption guidelines.*
Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Minamata Convention

**Scientific Research Informs Policy**
BRI was a participant in five of the meetings of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC) that preceded the adoption of the Minamata Convention. With the Convention now in force, BRI continues to participate and is contributing to its implementation in a number of ways.

As co-lead of UN Environment’s Mercury Air Transport and Fate Research Partnership Area, BRI is assisting the UN agencies and delegates with project ideas and their implementation, including developing a biomonitoring toolkit (Figure 19). BRI scientists lead a global team of experts to develop a chapter about mercury in biota for the 2018 Global Mercury Assessment. In addition, BRI is a member of the Artisanal and Small-scale Gold Mining Partnership Area.

**Helping Countries Prepare for Ratification and Implementation**
The INC and the Global Environmental Facility have developed a series of pre-ratification activities, Minamata Initial Assessments (MIAs), that are designed to prepare countries for ratification and early implementation of the Convention. BRI currently serves as an executing agency for and/or provides technical experts to more than 20 countries for MIA activities.

**Collaboration with UN Agencies**
BRI is assisting three UN agencies to implement MIA activities around the world as: (1) an Executing Agency with UNIDO; (2) an International Technical Expert with UNDP; and (3) an International Technical Expert with UN Environment. BRI also helps with the implementation of the Convention by identifying goals for countries through targeted metrics and associated time periods (Table 3; Evers et al. 2016).

**Global Biotic Mercury Synthesis**
BRI’s GBMS database is a collection of mercury exposure data in biota that are published in peer-reviewed journals. GBMS also serves as the basis for understanding spatiotemporal patterns of exposure and risk for UN Environment’s Global Mercury Assessment—2018.

For more information, visit: www.briloon.org/hgcenter/gbms

**Table 3.** A provisional slate of some potential bioindicators for evaluating and monitoring environmental mercury loads for ecological and human health purpose in four target biomes. The full text can be found at: www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/global-mercury-partnership/mercury-air-transport-and-fate-research/reports-and-publications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrestrial Biomes and Associated Aquatic Areas</th>
<th>Ecological Health Bioindicators</th>
<th>Human and Ecological Health Bioindicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial Biomes and Associated Aquatic Areas</td>
<td>Freshwater Birds</td>
<td>Marine Birds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic Tundra and Arctic Ocean</td>
<td>Loons</td>
<td>Fulmars, Murres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Forest-Taiga and N. Pacific and Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>Loons, Eagles, Osprey, Songbirds</td>
<td>Osprey, Peregrins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate Mixed Forest and Pacific and Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>Loons, Grebes, Egrets, Herons, Osprey, Terns, Songbirds</td>
<td>Cormorants, Osprey, Terns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Rainforest and S. Pacific and Atlantic and Indian Ocean</td>
<td>Egrets, Herons, Kingfishers, Songbirds</td>
<td>Albatrosses, Frigatebirds, Noddies, Shearwaters, Terns, Tropicbirds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 19.** Biomonitoring Toolkit. Following the lead of UN Environment’s template for the identification and quantification of mercury releases, this model provides quantitative information on biota.
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